Dispersive Readout of a Few-Electron Double Quantum Dot with Fast rf Gate-Sensors
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We report the dispersive charge-state readout of a double quantum dot in the few-electron regime using the in situ gate electrodes as sensitive detectors. We benchmark this gate-sensing technique against the well established quantum point contact (QPC) charge detector and find comparable performance with a bandwidth of $\sim 10$ MHz and an equivalent charge sensitivity of $\sim 6.3 \times 10^{-3}$ e/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. Dispersive gate-sensing alleviates the burden of separate charge detectors for quantum dot systems and promises to enable readout of qubits in scaled-up arrays.

Non-invasive charge detection has emerged as an important tool for uncovering new physics in nanoscale devices at the single-electron level and allows readout of spin qubits in a variety of material systems [11, 19]. For quantum dots defined electrostatically by the selective depletion of a two dimensional electron gas (2DEG), the conductance of a proximal quantum point contact (QPC) [4, 7, 9] or single electron transistor (SET) [3, 8] can be used to detect the charge configuration in a regime where direct transport is not possible. This method can, in principle, reach quantum mechanical limits for sensitivity [10] and has enabled the detection of single electron spin-states [4, 7, 11] with a 98% readout fidelity in a single-shot [12].

An alternate approach to charge-state detection, long used in the context of single electron spectroscopy [13], is based on the dispersive signal from shifts in the quantum capacitance [14, 15] when electrons undergo tunnelling. Similar dispersive interactions are now the basis for readout in a variety of quantum systems including atoms in an optical resonator [16], superconducting qubits [17–19] and nanomechanical devices [20].

In this Letter we report dispersive readout of quantum dot devices using the standard, in situ gate electrodes coupled to lumped-element resonators as high-bandwidth, sensitive charge-transition sensors. We demonstrate the sensitivity of this gate-sensor in the few-electron regime, where these devices are commonly operated as charge or spin qubits [21] and benchmark its performance against the well established QPC charge sensor. We find that because the quantum capacitance is sufficiently large in these devices, gate-sensors have similar sensitivity to QPC sensors. In addition, we show that gate-sensors can operate at elevated temperatures in comparison to QPCs.

Previous investigations, in the context of circuit quantum electrodynamics (c-QED), have engineered a dispersive interaction between many-electron dots and superconducting coplanar waveguide resonators [22, 25]. Recently, the charge and spin configuration of double quantum dots has also been detected by dispersive changes in a radio frequency resonator coupled directly to the source or drain contacts of the device [23, 28]. The present work advances these previous studies by demonstrating that the gates, already in place to define the quantum dot system, can also act as fast and sensitive readout detectors in the single-electron regime. This is a surprising result, given the small capacitive coupling between the gate and dot, but lifts a barrier to qubit readout in large scaled-up quantum dot arrays by alleviating the need for many ohmic contacts, large on-chip distributed resonators, or proximal charge detectors.

Our gate-sensor, shown in Fig. 1(a), comprises an off-chip superconducting Nb on Al$_2$O$_3$ spiral inductor ($L \sim 210$ nH) in resonance with the distributed parasitic capacitance ($C \sim 0.3$ pF) that includes a TiAl gate electrode used to define the quantum dots (resonance frequency $f_0 = 1/\sqrt{LC} = 704$ MHz, Q-factor $\sim 70$). The dots are 110 nm below the surface of a GaAs/Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As heterostructure (electron density $2.4 \times 10^{15}$ m$^{-2}$, mobility $44$ m$^2$/V s) that is mounted on a high-frequency circuit board [29] at the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator with base temperature $T$. 

FIG. 1. (a) Micrograph of a similar device to the one measured and circuit schematic. One of the in situ dot-defining gates (blue) is coupled via a bondwire to an off-chip Nb/Al$_2$O$_3$ superconducting lumped-element resonator to enable dispersive readout. (b) Amplitude $S_{11}$ (blue) and phase response (red) of the resonator. (c) Illustration of the charging energy spectrum for a quantum dot. The resonant rf gate voltage $V_{\text{rf}}$ induces tunnelling at the charge degeneracy point (green oscillation) leading to a dispersive shift that is suppressed for configurations of stable charge (orange oscillation).
transitions (rather than absolute charge) by sensing small changes in the polarizability or quantum admittance \[ \frac{dV}{dE} \] when an electron tunnels in response to the alternating rf gate voltage. Tunnelling modifies the resonator capacitance \( C \) beyond the geometric contribution (at the position of green symbol in Fig. 1(c)) compared to the regime where tunnelling is suppressed (orange symbol in Fig. 1(c)). The response of the resonator \( \Delta \phi \) is detected by fast sampling of the in-phase and quadrature components of the reflected rf to produce a baseband signal, \( V_{DGS} \), proportional to the dispersive shift \[ \frac{dV}{dE} \].

Our device integrates a QPC charge sensor together with the DGS and allows simultaneous readout of the quantum dot system using both detectors. A comparison of the relative sensitivity of the QPC and DGS is shown in Fig. 2(a-d) where the response of each detector is measured as a function of the gate voltages \( V_g \) and \( V_R \) used to define a large, single quantum dot in the Coulomb blockade regime. The dispersive signal \( V_{DGS} \) from the gate resonator is shown in Fig. 2(a,c), with Fig. 2(b,d) showing the derivative of the conductance \( G \) of the QPC with respect to \( V_g \). The sensitivity of both sensors is quantified by applying a small modulation voltage to a nearby gate, inducing periodic variation in conductance through the conductance signal in (b), at \( V_Coulomb = -723 \text{ mV} \). The electron temperature \( T_e \), determined by Coulomb blockade (CB) thermometry, is below 100 mK. The amplitude and phase response of the resonator is measured as a function of carrier frequency, as in Fig. 2(e). We further characterize the DGS by measuring how the height and width of the electron transition signal (see Fig. 2(e)) depend on applied resonator power, as shown in Fig. 2(g). Finally, we extract the relative geometric capacitive coupling between the sensor-gate and the quantum dot. The charging energy of the dot \( E_c = e^2/2C_{\Sigma} \), can be measured by using the DGS to sense Coulomb diamonds as a function of source-drain voltage across the dot \( E_c = eV_{sd} \), as shown in Fig. 2(h) (where \( e \) is the electron charge and \( C_{\Sigma} \) is the total dot capacitance). By measuring the period of CB oscillations we estimate that the gate-sensor geometric capacitance \( C_g \sim 10 \text{ aF} \) contributes \( \sim 5 \) percent of \( C_{\Sigma} \).

For a single quantum dot biased at the point where

\(~ 20 \text{ mK}. \) The electron temperature \( T_e \), determined by Coulomb blockade (CB) thermometry, is below 100 mK. The amplitude and phase response of the resonator is measured, following cryogenic amplification, using a vector network analyzer, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Dispersive gate-sensors (DGS) detect charge-
electron $n$ and $n+1$ are degenerate, the quantum capacitance is given by $C_Q = (e^2/4k_BT_e)(C_g/CSigma)^2$ \cite{27,32}, when the dot tunnel-rate is much larger than the resonator frequency ($k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant). This quantum capacitance shifts the resonance frequency by an amount $\Delta f \simeq C_Q f_0/2C$, ($C$ is the resonator capacitance). This frequency shift results in an observed phase response $\Delta \phi \simeq \alpha C_Q Q/C$, ($Q$ is the $Q$-factor of the resonator). The constant of proportionality $\alpha$ is of order unity at resonance and is related to the transmission coefficient of the resonator. For $T_e \sim 100$ mK and $C_g/CSigma \approx 0.05$ this formula gives $C_Q \approx 9$ aF which is broadly consistent with our observed phase shifts of $\Delta \phi \times 180/\pi \approx 0.2$ degrees.

Having quantified the sensitivity of the gate-sensor, we now configure a double dot and show that this gate readout method can operate in the few-electron regime, where these devices are commonly operated as qubits. The double dot charge-stability diagram is detected using the dispersive gate-sensor as shown in Fig. 3(a), where regions of stable electron number are labeled $(n,m)$, corresponding to the number of electrons in the left and right dots. We confirm that the double dot is indeed in the few electron regime by also detecting the charge configuration using the proximal QPC charge sensor, as shown in Fig. 3(b).

Charge sensing using QPCs or SETs requires that the sensor be kept at a value of conductance where sensitivity is maximized. This is typically achieved by applying additional compensating voltages to gates when acquiring a charge-stability diagram. It is worth noting that gate-sensors do not require such offset charge compen-
sation or gate voltage control. Of further practical use, we find that DGSs are robust detectors at elevated temperatures, in contrast to QPC charge sensors which suffer from a thermally broadened conductance profile and suppressed sensitivity with increasing temperature. The single-electron response of both QPC and DGS can be compared in Fig. 3(c) for $T \sim 20$ mK and $T \sim 1100$ mK.

The gate-sensor can be made to detect both intra- and inter-double dot tunnelling transitions, as shown in Fig. 3(d) which depicts a close-up region of the charging diagram. A line-profile of the transitions (Fig. 3(e)) indicates that the DGS is most sensitive to electron transitions from the right reservoir, due to its position, but is capable of distinguishing all transitions. Near an intra-dot transition, the quantum capacitance for the double dot can be shown to be $C_{dd}^{q} = (e^2/2t)(C_g/C_S)^2$ where $t$ is the tunnel coupling energy of the double dot [26]. As for the single dot above, the phase shift (in radians) is $\Delta \phi \sim \alpha C_{dd}^{q}Q/C$. The measured phase shift $\Delta \phi \sim 0.1$ degrees for the intra-dot transition is near half the shift for transitions to the leads, consistent with a tunnelling coupling $t/h \simeq 8$ GHz.

Increasing the tunnel barriers between the double dot and the reservoirs suppresses the gate sensing signal when the tunnel rate drops substantially below the detector resonance frequency ($f_0 \sim 704$ MHz). This regime is reached in Fig. 3(f), where transitions to the reservoirs are suppressed, but intra-dot transitions remain visible as these occur at a tunnel frequency above $f_0$. The observation of the intra-dot transition in the few-electron regime is important since it is this signal that forms the basis of spin qubit readout in these devices [21, 25, 26]. Of further note, in contrast to QPC or SET detectors that exhibit a broadband back-action spectrum [33], gate-sensors act-back on the qubit at a single, adjustable frequency.

The demonstration that in situ surface gates also serve as readout detectors with comparable sensitivity to QPCs is perhaps unexpected, given that the geometric gate-to-dot capacitance is only $\sim 5$ percent of the total capacitance. Readout using gate-sensors, however, makes use of the quantum capacitance which, as we have shown, can be of the same order as the geometric contribution ($C_g \simeq C_Q$). Gate-based readout then, has potential to address the significant challenge of integrating many QPC or SET detectors into large arrays of quantum dots, for instance, in the scale-up of spin qubit devices. The use of wavelength division multiplexing techniques [34, 35] would further allow each gate in an array to be independently and simultaneously read out at a unique frequency. Such an approach will also likely apply to systems without source-drain reservoirs altogether, such as donor qubits [36], or in the readout of Majorana devices [37].
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[31] A modulation in the dispersive response by a nearby gate can be understood as the variation in capacitance with depletion of electrons surrounding the DGS.