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## THE <br> I N T EREST <br> 0 F <br> $G R E A T B R I T A I N$ <br> With Regard to her COLONIES.

IHave perufed with no Imall pleafure the Letter addreffed to Two Great Men, and the Remarks on that letter. It is not merely from the beauty, the force and perficuity of expreffion, or the general elegance of manner confpicuous in both pamphlets, that my pleafure chiefly arifes; it is rather from this, that I have lived to fee fubjects of the greateft importance to this nation publickly difcuffed without party views, or party heat, with decency and politenefs, and with no other warmoth than what a zeal for the honour and happinefs of our king and country may infpire; -and this by writers whofe underftanding (however they may differ from each other) appears not unequal to their candour and the uprightnefs of their intention.

But, as great abilities have not always the beft information, there are, I apprehend, in the Remiarks fome opinions not well founded, and fome miftaises of fo important a nature, as to render a few obfervations on them neceffary for the better information of the publick.

The author of the Letter, who muft be every way beft able to fupport his own fentiments, will, I hope, excufe me, if I feem officiounly to interfere; when he confiders, that the firit of patriotifm, like
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other qualities good and bad, is catching; and that his long filence fince the Remarks appeared has made us defpair of feeing the fubject farther difcuffed by his mafterly hand. The ingenious and candid remarker, too, who muft have been mifled himfelf before he employed his fkill and addrefs to mifead others, will certainly, fince he declares he aims at no Seduction,* be difpofed to excufe even the weakeft effort to prevent it .

And furely if the general opinions that poffers the minds of the people may pofibly be of confequence in publick affairs, it muft be fit to fet thofe opinions right. If there is danger, as the remarker fuppofes, that "extravagant expectations" may embarafs "a virtuous and able miniftry," and "render the negotiation for peace a work of infinite difficulty;" + there is no lefs danger that expectations too low, thro' want of proper information, may have a contrary effect, may make even a virtuous and able miniftry lefs anxious, and lefs attentive to the obtaining points, in which the honour and intereft of the nation are effentially concerned; and the people lefs hearty in fupporting fuch a miniftry and its meafures.

The people of this nation are indeed refpectable, not for their numbers only, but for their underftanding and their publick fpirit: they manifeft the firft, by their univerfal approbation of the late prudent and vigorous menfures, and the confidence they fo juftly repofe in a wife and good prince, and an honeft and able adminiftration; the latter they have demonftrated by the immenfe fupplies granted in parliament unanimoully, and paid through the whole kingdom with chearfulnefs. And fince to this fpirit and thefe fupplies our " victories and fucceffes" $\ddagger$ have in great meafure been
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been owing, is it quite right, is it generous to fay, with the remarker, that the people "had no fhare in acquiring them?" The mere mob he cannot mean, even where he fpeaks of the madnefs of the people; for the madnefs of the mob mult be too feeble and impotent, arm'd as the government of this country at prefent is, to "over-rule,"* even in the fighteft inftances, the "virtue, and moderation" of a firm and fteady miniftry.

While the war continues, its final event is quite uncertain. The Vietorious of this year may be the Vanquifh'd of the next. It may therefore be too early to fay, what advantages we ought abfolutely to infift on, and make the fine quibus non of a peace. If the neceffity of our affairs hhould oblige us to accept of terms lefs advantageous than our prefent fucceffes feem to promife us, an intelligent people as ours is, muft fee that neceflity, and will acquiefce. But as a peace, when it is made, may be made hattily; and as the unhappy continuance of the war affords us time to confider, among feveral advantages gain'd or to be gain'd, which of them may be moft for our intereft to retain, if fome and not all may poffibly be retained; I do not blame the public difquifition of thefe points, as premature or ufelefs. Light often arifes from a collifion of opinions, as fire from flint and fteel; and if we can obtain the benefit of the light, without danger from the beat fometimes produc'd by controverfy, why fhould we difcourage it?

Suppofing then, that heaven may ftill continue to blefs his Majelty's arms, and that the event of this juft war may put it in our power to retain fome of our conquefts at the making of a peace; let us confider whether we are to confine ourfelves to thofe poffeflions only that were "' the objects for B 2 which

[^1]
## [ 4 ]

which we began the war."* - This the remarker feems to think right, when the queftion relates to ' Canada, properly fo called,' it having never been ' mentioned as one of thofe objects in any of our ' memorials or declarations, or in any national or ' public act whatfoever.' But the gentleman him\{clf will probably agree, that if the ceffion of Ca nada would be a real advantage to us, we may demand it under his fecond head, as an " indemnijication for the charges incurred" in recovering our jut rights; otherwife according to his own principles the demand of Guadaloupe can have no foundation.

That " our clainss before the war were large "t enough for poffeflion and for fecurity too," $\dagger$ tho" it feems a clear point with the ingenious remarker, is, I own, not fo with me. I am rather of the contrary opinion, and fhall prefently give my reafons. But firft let me obferve, that we did not make thofe claims becaufe they were large enough for fecurity, but becaufe we could rightfully claim no more. Advantages gain'd in the courle of this war, may increafe the extent of our rights. Our claims before the war contain'd fome fecurity; but that is no reafon why we fhould neglect acquiring more when the demand of more is become reafonable. It may be reafonable in the cafe of $A$ merica to afk for the fecurity recommended by the author of the letter, $\|$ tho' it would be prepofterous to do it in many other cafes: his propos'd demand is founded on the little value of Canada to the French; the right we have to afk, and the power we may have to infift on an indemrification for our expences; the difficulty the Frenco themfelves
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will be under of reftraining their refllefs fubjects in America from encroaching on our limits and diflurbing our trade; and the difficulty on our parts of preventing encroachments that may pofibly exift many years without coming to our knowin ledge. But the remarker " does not fee why the " arguments employ'd concerning a fecurity for a "peaceable behaviour in Canada, would not be " equally cogent for calling for the fame fecurity " in Europe." * On a little farther reflection, he muit I think be fenfible, that the circumftances of the two cafes are widely different. Here we are feparated by the beft and cleareft of boundaries, the ocean, and we have people in or near every part of our territory. Any attempt to encroach upon us, by building a fort, even in the obfcureft corner of thefe inlands, muft therefore be known and prevented immediately. The aggreffors alfo muft be known, and the nation they belong to would be accountable for their aggreffion. In America it is quite otherwife.. A vaft wildernefs thinly or fcarce at all peopled, conceals with eafe the march of troöps and workmen. Important paffes may be feiz'd within our limits and forts built in amonth, at a fmall expence, that may coft us an age, and a million to remove. Dear experience has taught us this. But what is fill worfe, the wide extended forefts between our fettlements and theirs, are inhabited by barbarous $t$ ibes of favages that delight in war and take pride in murder, fubjects properly neither of the French nor Englif, but ftrongly attach'd to the former by the art and indefatigable induftry of priefts, fimilarity of fuperftitions, and frequent family alliances. Thefe are eafily, and have been continually, inftigated to fall upon and maffacre our planters, even in times of full peace betwecn
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between the two crowns, to the certain diminution of our people and the contraction of our fettlements.* And tho' it is known they are fupply'd by the French and carry their prifoners to them, we can by complaining obtain no redrefs, as the governors of Canada have a ready excufe, that the Indians are an independent people, over whom they have no power, and for whofe actions they are there-

* A very intelligent writer of that country, Dr. Clark, in his Obfervations on the late and projent Conduct of the French, \&c. printed at Bofon 1755, fays,
- The Indians in the French intereft are, upon all proper op-- portunities, inftigated by their priefts, who have generaliy the
- chief management of their public councils, 10 acts of ho-
- Atility againf the Englijh, even in time of profound peace be-
- tween the two crowns. Of this there are many undeniabie
${ }^{5}$ inftances: The war becween the Indians ard the colonies of
${ }^{\circ}$ the Mafachufetts Ray and New Hampriere, in 1723, by which
- thofe colonies fuffered fo much damage, was begun by the
- inftigation of the French; their fupplies were from them, and
* there are now original letters of leveral Jefuits to be pro-
- duced, whereby it evidently appeare, thar they were contin-
- ually animating the Indians, when almof tired with the war,
* to a farther profecution of it. The French not only excited
${ }^{3}$ she indians, and fupported them, but joined their own forces
${ }^{6}$ with them in all the late hoftilicies that have been committed
- within his Majefty's province of Nova Scotia. And from an
- intercepted letter this year from the Jefuit at Penobfiot, and
- from other information, it is certain that they have been ufing
- their utmoft endeavours to excite the Indians to new acts of
- hoftility againf his Majefty's colony of the Maffachufetts
- Bay, and fome have been committed.-The Frent b not only

6 excite the Indians to acts of hoilility, but reward them for it,
6 by buying the Engli/b prifaners of them ; for the ranfom of
6 each of which they afterwards demand of us the price that is

- ufually given for a flave in thefecolonies. They do this un-
- der the fipecious pretence of refaning the poor prifoners from
- the cruelties and barbarisies of the favages; but in reality to sincourage them to continue their depredations, as they can by this means get more by hunting the Englif/b than by huntOng wid-bealts; and the Frenchat the fane tume are thereby enabled to keep up a large body of Ladians entirely at the ex. pence of the Erobita:"
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therefore not accountable. Surely circumfances fo widely different, may reafonably authorife different demands of fecurity in America, from fuch as are ufual or neceffary in Europe.

The remarker, however, thinks, that our real dependance for keeping "France or any other na" tion true to her engagements, mult not be in " demanding fecurities which no nation whilft inde" pendent can give, but on our own ftrength and our " own vigilance." * No nation that has carried on a war with difadvantage, and is unable to continue it, can be faid, under fuch circumftances, to be independent; and while either fide thinks itfelf in a condition to demand an indemnification, there is no man in his fenfes, but will, cateris paribus, prefer an indemnification that is a cheaper and more effectual fecurity than any other he can think of. Nations in this fituation demand and cede countries by almof every treaty of peace that is made. The French part of the inland of St. Cbrifophers was added to Great Britain in circumftances altogether fimilar to thofe in which a few months may probably place the country of Canada. Farther fecurity has always been deemed a motive with a conqueror to be lefs moderate; and even the vanquifh'd infift upon fecurity as a reafon for demanding what they acknowledge they could not otherwife properly afk. The fecurity of the frontier of France on the fide of the Netherlands, was always confidered, in the negotiation that began at Gertruydenburgh, and ended with that war. . For the fame reafon they demanded and had Cape Breton. But a war concluded to the advantage of France has always added fomething to the power, either of France or the houfe of Bourbon. Even that of 733, which fhe commenced with declarations of hes
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her having no ambitious views, and which finified by a treaty at which the minifters of France repeatedly declared that the defired nothing for herfelf, in effect gained for her Lorrain, an indemnification ten times the value of all her North $A$. merican poffeffions.

In flort, fecurity and quiet of princes and ftates have ever been deemed fufficient reafons, when fupported by power, for difporng of rights; and fuch difpofition has never been looked on as want of moderation. It has always been the foundation of the moft general treaties. The fecurity of Germany was the argument for yielding confiderable poffeffions there to the Swedes: and the fecurity of Europe divided the Spanijh monarchy, by the partition treaty, made between powers who had no other right to difpofe of any part of it. There can be no ceffion that is not fuppofed at leaft, to increafe the power of the party to whom it is made. It is enough that he has a right to afk it, and that he does it not merely to ferve the purpofes of a dangerous ambition. Carada in the hands of Britain, will endanger the kingdom of France as little as any other ceffion; and from its. fituation and circumftances cannot be hurtful to any other ftate. Rather, if peace be an advantage, this ceffion may be fuch to all Europe. The prefent war teaches $u s$, that difputes arifing in America, may be an occafion of embroiling na-, tions who have no concerns there. If the Firench remain in Canada and Louifiana, fix the boundaries as you will between us and them, we muft border on each other for more than 1500 miles. The people that inhabit the frontiers, are generally the refufe of both nations, often of the worf morals and the leaft difcretion, remote from the eye, the prudence, and the reftraint of government. Injuries
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are therefore frequently, in fome part or other of fo long a frontier, committed on both fides, refentment provoked, the colonies firft engaged, and then the mother countries. And two great naz tions can fcarce be at war in Europe, but fome other prince or flate thinks it a convenient opportunity, to revive fome ancient claim, feize fome advantage, obtain fome territory, or enlarge fome power at the expence of a neighbour. The flames of war once kindled, often fpread far and wide, and the mifchief is infinite. Happy it prov'd to both nations, that the Dutch were prevailed on finally to cede the Nero Netherlands (now the province of Nerw York) to us at the peace of 1674 ; a peace that has ever fince continued between us, but muft have been frequently difturbed, if they had retained the poffeffion of that country, bordering feveral hundred miles on our colonies of Penfilvania weftward, Connecticut and the Maffacbufetts eaftward. Nor is it to be wondred at that people of different language, religion, and manners, fhould in thofe remote parts engage in frequent quarrels, when we find, that even the people of our own colonies have frequently been fo exafperated againft each ocher in their difputes about boundaries, as to proceed to open violence and bloode fhed.

But the remarker thinks we fhall be fufficiently fecure in America, if we " raife Englijb forts at - fuch paffes as may at once make us refpectable to 6 the French and to the Indian nationṣ." * The fecurity defirable in America, may be confidered as of three kinds; 1. A fecurity of poffefion, that the French fhall not drive us out of the country. 2. A fecurity of our planters from the inroads of favages, and the murders committed by them.

[^5]
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3. A fecurity that the Britijb nation fhall not be oblig'd on every new war to repeat the immenfe expence occafion'd by this, to defend its poffefions in America. Forts in the moft important paffes, may, I acknowledge be of ufe to obtain the firt kind of fecurity: but as thofe fituations are far advanc'd beyond the inhabitants, the expence of maintaining and fupplying the garrifons, will be very great even in time of full peace, and immenfe on every interruption of it; as it is eafy for fkulking parties of the enemy in fuch long roads thro' the woods, to intercept and cut off our convoys, unlefs guarded continually by great bodies of men. The fecond kind of fecurity, will not be obtained by fuch forts, unlefs they wiere connefted by a wall like that of Cbina, from one end of our fetticments to the other. If the Indians when at war, march'd like the Europeans, with great armies, heavy cannon, baggage and carriages, the paffes thro' which alone fuch armies could penetrate our country or receive their fupplies, being fecur'd, all might be fufficiently fecure ; but the cafe is widely different. They go to war, as they call it, in fmall parties, from fifty men down to five. Their hunting life has made them acquainted with the whole country, and farce any part of it is impracticable to fuch a party. They can travel thro' the woods even by night, and know how to conceal their tracks. They pafs eafily between your forts undifcover'd; and privately approach the fettlements of your frontier inhabitants. They need no convoys of provifions to follow them; for whether they are niffing from place to place in the woods, or lying in wait for an opportunity to ftrike a blow, every thicket and every ftream furnifhes fo fmall a number with fufficient fubfiftence. When they have furpriz'd ${ }^{\circ}$ feparately, and murder'd

## [ II ]

and fcalp'd a dozen families, they are gone with inconceivable expedition thro' unknown ways, and 'tis very rare that purfuers have any chance of coming up with them.* In fhort, long experience has taught our planters, that they cannot rely upon forts as a fecurity againft Indians: The inhabitants of Hackney might as well rely upon the tower of London

[^6] - quires, they may be collected together from almoit any di-

- flance, as they can find their fubfiftence from their gun in their travelling. But let the number of the Indians be what ' it will, they are not formidable merely on account of their ' numbers; there are many other circumflances that give them. a great advantage over the Englifb. The Englifß inhabitants, 6 though numerous, are extended over a large tract of land, - 500 leagues in length on the fea-fhore; and although fome of 6 their trading towns are thick fettled, their fettlements in - the country towns muft be at a diftance from each other: be-- fides, that in a new country where lands are cheap, people - are fond of acquiring large tracts to themfelves; and there-
- fore in the out fettlements, they mult be more remote: and

6 as the people that move cut are generally poor, they fit down

- either where they can eafieft procure land, or fooneft raife a
- fubfiftence. Add to this, that the Engdifo have fixed fettled
- habitations, the eafieft and chorteft paffages to which the
- Indians, by conftantly hunting in the woods, are perfeclly.
- well acquainted with; whereas the Englijb know little or
- nothing of the Indian country nor of the paffages thro' the
- wood's that lead to it. The Indian way of making war is by
- fudden attacks upon expofed places; and as foon as they:
- have done mifchief, they retire and either go home by the.
- fame or fome different rour, as they think fafeft; or go to
- fome other place at a diftance to renew their ftroke. If a fuf-
- ficient party fhould happily be ready to purfue them, it is a
- great chance, whether in a country confifting of woods and
- fivamps which the Englig are not acquainted with, the ene-
- my do not lie in ambufh for them in fome convenient place,

6 and from thence deftroy them. If this lhould not be the cafe,

- but the Englijh flould purfue them, as foon as they have
- have gained the rivers; by means of their canoes, to the afe.
c of which they are brought up from their infancy, they pre-
- fently get out of their reach : further, if a body of men were
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Eo lectire them againft highwaymen and houfebreak ers. As to the third kind of fecurity, that we fhall niot in a few years, have all we have now done to do over again in America; and be oblig'd to employ the fame number of troops, and fhips, at the fame immenfe expence to defend our pofferfrons there, while we are in proportion weaken'd here:

- to march into their country to the places where they are
${ }^{-}$fettled, they can, upon the leaft notice, without great dif-,
- advantage, quit their prefent habitations, and betake them-
- felves to new ones.' Clark's Objervations, $p$. 13.
- It has been already remarked, that the tribes of the $I_{n}$ -
- dians living upon the lakes and rivers that run upon the back
- of the Engliß fettlements in North America, are very numerous,
- and can furnifh a great number of fighting men, all per-
- fectly well acquained with the ufe of arms as foon as capable

6 of carrying them, as they get the whole of their fubfiftence,

- from hunting; and that this army, large as it may be, can be
- maintained by the French without any expence. From their

6 numbers, their fituation, and the rivers that run into the
6 Englijb fettlements, it is eafy to conceive that they can at any

- time make an attack upon, and conftantly annoy as many of
* the expofed Englifo fetlements as they pleafe, and thofe at

6 any diftance from each other. The effects of fuch incurfions

- have been too feverely felt by many of the Eritifs colonies,
- not to be very well known. The entire breaking up places

6 that had been for a confiderable time fettled at a great ex-

- pence, both of labour and money; burning the houfes, de-
- ftroying the ftock, killing and making prifoners great num-

6 bers of the inhabitants, with all the cruel ufage they meet.
6 with in their captivity, is only a part of the fcene. All other

- places that are expofed are kept in continual terror; the
- lainds lie wafte and uncultivated from the danger that attends,
- thofe that fhall prefume to work upon them: befides the

6 immenfe charge the governments muft be at in a very inef-.

- fectual manner to defend their extended frontiers; and all
- this from the influence the French have had over, but com-
- paratively, a few of the Indians. To the fame or greater
- evils fill will every one of the colonies be expofed, when-
- ever the fame influence fhall be extended to the whole body
"of them."
Ibia. p. 20.
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here : fuch forts I think cannot prevent this. During a peace, it is not to be doubted the French, who are adroit at fortifying, will likewife erect forts in the moft advantageous places of the country we leave them, which will make it more difficult than ever to be reduc'd in cafe of another war. We know by the experience of this war, how extremely difficult it is to march an army thro' the American woods, with its neceffary cannon and ftores, fufficient to reduce a very flight fort. The accounts at the treafury will tell you what amazing fums we have neceffarily fpent in the expeditions againft two very trifling forts, Duquefne and Crown Point. While the French retain their infuence over the Indians, they can eafily keep our long extended frontier in continual alarm, by a very few of thofe people; and with a fmall number of regulars and militia, in fuch a country, we find they can keep an army of ours in full employ for feveral years. We thercfore fhall not need to be cold by our colonies, that if we leave Canada, however circumfrib'd, to the French, "we bave done " notbing;"* we fhall foon be made fenfible ourfelves of this truth, and to our coft.

I would not be underfood to deny that even if we fubdue and retain Canada, fome tew forts may. be of ufe to fecure the goods of the traders, and protect the commerce, in cafe of any fudden mifs, underftanding with any tribe of Indians: but thefe forts will be beft under the care of the colonies interefted in the Indian trade, and garrifon'd by their provincial forces, and at their own expence. Their own intereft will then induce the American governments to take care of fuch forts in proportion to their importance; and fee that the officers keep their corps full and mind their duty. But any troops

[^7]
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troops of ours plac'd there and accountable here, would, in fuch remote and oblcure places and at fo great a diftance from the eye and infpection of fuperiors, foon become of little confequence, even tho' the French were left in poffefion of Canada. If the four independent companies maintained by the Crown in Newe York more than forty years, at a great expence, confifted, for moft part of the time, of taggots chiefly; if their officers enjoy'd their placess as fine cures, and were only, as a writer * of that country ftiles them, a kind of military monks; if this was the flate of troops pofted in a populous country, where the impofition could not be fo well conceal'd; what may we expect will be the cafe of thofe that fhall be pofted two, three or four hundred miles from the inhabitants, in fuch obfcure and remote places as Crown Point, Ofreego, Duquefne, or Niagara? they would fcarce be even faggots; they would dwindle to meer names upon-paper, and appear no where but upon the mufter rolls.

Now all the kinds of fecurity we have mention'd are obtain'd by fubduing and retaining Canada. Our prefent poffeffions in America, are fecur'd; our planters will no longer be maffacred by the Indians, who depending abfolutely on us for what are now become the neceffaries of life to them, guns, powder, hatchets, knives, and cloathing; and having no other Europeans near, that can either fupply them, or inftigate them againft us; there is no doubt of their being always difpos'd, if we treat them with common juftice, to live in perpetual peace with us. And with regard to France, the cannot in cafe of another war, put us to the immenfe expence of defending that long extended frontier; we fhall then, as it were, have

## $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[15}\end{array}\right]$

our backs againft a wall in America, the fea coaft will be eafily protected by our fuperior naval power; and here "our own watchfulnefs and our own ftrength" will be properly, and cannot but be fuccefffully employed. In this fituation the force now employ'd in that part of the world, may be fpar'd for any other fervice here or elfewhere; fo that both the offenfive and defenfive ftrength of the Briti/b empire on the whole will be greatly increafed.

But to leave the French in poffeffion of Canada when it is in our power to remove them, and depend, as the remarker propofes, on our own "ftrength and watchfulne $\int s^{3}$ "* to prevent the mifchiefs that may attend it, feems neither fafe nor prudent. Happy as we now are, under the beft of kings, and in the profpect of a fucceffion promifing every felicity a nation was ever blefs'd with : happy too in the wifdom and vigour of every part of the adminiftration, particularly that part whofe peculiar province is the Brisifla plantations, a province every true Englijbman fees with pleafure under the principal direction of a nobleman, as much diftinguifh'd by his great capacity, as by his unwearied and difinterefted application to this important department; we cannot, we ought not to promife ourfelves the uninterrupted continuance of thofe bleffings. The fafety of a confiderable part of the ftate, and the intereft of the whole are not to be trufted to the wifdom and vigor of future adminiftrations, when a fecurity is to be had more effectual, more contant, and much lefs expenfive. They who can be moved by the apprehenfion of dangers fo remote as chat of the future independence of our colonies (a point. I fhall hereafter confider) feem fcarcely coniftent.

[^8]with themfelves when they fuppofe we may rely on the wifdom and vigour of an adminiftration for their fafety.

I fhould indeed think it lefs material whether Canada were ceded to us or not, if I had in view only the fecurily of poffefion in our colonies. I entirely agree with the Remarker, that we are in North America " a far greater continental as well " as naval power;" and that only cowardice or ignorance can fubject our colonies there to a French conqueft. But for the fame reafon I difagree with him widely upon another point. I do not think that our " blood and treafure has been expended," as he intimates, "in the caufc of the colonies," and that we are " making conquetts for them:" * yet I believe this is too common an error. I do not fay they are altogether unconcerned in the event. The inhabitants of them are, in common with the other fubjects of Great Britain, anxious for the glory of her crown, the extent of her power and commerce, the welfare and future repofe of the whole Britifb people. They could not therefore but take a large fhare in the affronts offered to Britain, and have been animated with a truely Britifa spirit to exert themfelves beyond their ftrength. and againft their evident intereft. Yet fo unfortunate have they been, that their virtue has made againt them; for upon no better foundation than this, have they been fuppofed the authors of a war carried on for their adrantage only. It is a great mittake to imagine that the American country in queftion between Great Britain'and France, is claimed as the property of any individuals or publick body in America, or that the poffeffion of it by Great Britain, is likely, in any lucrative view, to redound at all to the advantage of any perfon there.
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On the other hand, the bulk of the inhabitants of North America are land-owners, whofe lands are inferior in value to thofe of Britain, only by the want of an equal number of people. It is true the acceffion of the large territory claimed before the war began, efpecially if that be fecured by the peffeffion of Canada, will tend to the increafe of the Britifb fubjects fafter than if they had been confin'd within the mountains : yet the increafe within the mountains only, would evidently make the comparative population equal to that of Great Britain much fooner than it can be expected when our people are fpread over a country fix times as large. I think this is the only point of light in which this queftion is to be viewed, and is the only one in which any of the colonies are concerned. No colony, no poffeffor of lands in any colony, therefore wifhes for conquefts, or can be benefited by them, otherwife than as they may be a means of fecuring peace on their borders. No confiderable advantage has refulted to the colonies by the conquefts of this war, or can refult from confirming them by the peace, but what they muft enjoy in common with the reft of the Britijb people; with this evident drawback from their fhare of thefe advantages, that they will neceffarily leffen, or at leaft prevent the increafe of the value of what makes the principal part of their private property. A people fpread thro' the whole tract of country on this fide the $M i f f_{i j p i p}$, and fecured by Canada in our hands, would probably for fome centuries find employment in agriculture, and thereby free us at home effectually from our fears of American manufactures. Unprejudic'd men well know that all the penal and prohibitory laws that ever were thought on, will not be fufficient to prevent manufactures in a country whofe inhabitants
furpafs the number that can fubfirt by the hufbandry of it. That this will be the cafe in America foon, if our people remain confined within the mountains, and almoft as foon fhould it be unfafe for them to live beyond, tho' the country be ceded to us, no man acquainted with political and commercial hiftory can doubt. Manufactures are founded in poverty. It is the multitude of poor without land in a councry, and who muft work for others at low wages or ftarve, that enables undertakers to carry on a manufacture, and afford it cheap enough to prevent the importation of the fame kind from abroad, and to bear the expence of its own exportation. But no man who can have a plece of land of his own, fufficient by his labour to fubfift his family in plenty, is poor enough to be a manufacturer and work for a mafter. Hence while there is land enough in America for our people, there can never be manufactures to any amount or value. It is a ftriking obfervation of a very able pen, that the natural livelihood of the thin inhabitants of a foreft country, is hunting; that of a greater number, pafturage; that of a middling population, agriculture; and that of the greateft, manufactures; which laft muft fubfift the bulk of the people in a full country, or they muft be fubfifted by charity, or perifh. The extended population, therefore, that is moft advantageous to Great Britain, will be beft effected, becaufe only effectually fecur'd by our poffeffion of Canada. So far as the being of our prefent colonies in North America is concerned, I think indeed with the remarker, that the Frencb there are not "an enemy " to be apprehended,"* but the expreffion is too vague to be applicable to the prefent, or indeed to any other cafe. Algiers, Tiunis and Tripoli, unequal
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equal as they are to this nation in power and numbers of people, are enemies to be ftill apprehended; and the Higblanders of Scotland have been fo for many ages by the greateft princes of Scotland and Britain. The wild Irifh were able to give a great deal of difturbance even to Queen Elizabeth, and coft her more blood and treafure than her war with Spain. Canada in the hands of France has always ftinted the growth of our colonies: In the courfe of this war, and indeed before it, has difturb'd and vex'd even the beft and ftrongeft of them, has found means to murder thoufands of their people and unfettle a great part of their country. Much more able will it be to farve the growth of an infant fettlement. Canado has alfo found means to make this nation fpend two or three millions a year in America; and a people, how fmall foever, that in their prefent fituation, can do this as often as we have a war with them, is methinks, "an ene" my to be apprebended."

Our North American colonies are to be confidered as the frontier of the Britifs empire on that fide. The frontier of any dominion being attack'd, it becomes not merely " the caufe" of the people immediately affected, (the inhabitants of that frontier) but properly "the cause" of the whole body. Where the frontier people owe and pay obedience, there they have a right to look for protection. No political propofition is better eftablifhed than this. It is therefore invidious to reprefent the "blood and treafure" fpent in this war, as fpent in "the "caufe of the colonies" only, and that they are " abfurd and ungrateful" if they think we have done nothing unlefs we " make conquefts for "them," and reduce Canada to gratify their "vain ambition," $\xi^{c}$. It will not be a conqueft for them, nor gratify any vain ambition of theirs.

## 20 ]

It will be a conqueft for the whole, and all our people will, in the increafe of trace and the eafe of taxes, find the advantage of it. Should we be obliged at any time to make a war for the protection of our commerce, and to fecure the exportation of our manufactures, would it be fair to reprefent fuch a war merely as blood and treafure fpent in the caufe of the weavers of 2 ork/bire, Norwich, or the Weft, the cutlers of Sbeffeld, or the button-makers of Birningtam? I hope it will appzar before I end thefe fheets, that if cver there was a national wor, this is truly fuch a one: a war in which the intereft of the wobole nation is directly and fundamentally concerne i.

Thofe who would be thought deeply fkilled in human nature, affect to difcover felf-interefted views every where at the botom of the faireft, the mont generous conduct. Sufpicions and charges of this kind, meet with ready reception and belief in the minds even of the multitude; and therefore lefs acutenefs and addrefs than the remarker is poffeffed of, wo:ld be fufficient to perfuade the nation generally, that all the zeal and fpirit manifefted and exerted by the colonies in this war, was only in " their own caufe" to "make conquefts for "themfelves," to engage us to make more for them, to gratify their own "vain ambition." But fhould they now humbly addrefs the mother country in the terms and the fentiments of the remarker, return her their gratefal acknowlectgments for the blood and treafure the had fpent in "their "coute," confefs that enough had been done "for them ;" allow that "Englifh forts raifed in " proper paffee, will, with the wifdom and vigour "年 her adminiftration" be a fufficient future protection; exprefs their defires that their people may be confined within the mountains, left if they are fuffered
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fuffered to fpread and extend themfelves in the fertile and pleafant country on the other fide, they fhould " increase infinitely from all caufes," "live "wholly on their own labour" and become independent; beg therefore that the French may be fuffered to remain in poffefion of Canada, as their neighbourhood may be ufeful to prevent our increafe; and the removing them may " in its confe" quences be even dangerous*." I fay, fhould fuch an addrefs from the colonies make its appearance here, though, according to the remarker, it would be a moit juft and reafonable one; would it not, might it not with more jultice be anfwered ; We underftand you, gentlemen, perfectly well: you have only your own intereft in view: you want to have the people confined within your prefent limits, that in a few years the lands you are poffeffed of may increafe tenfold in value! your want to reduce the price of labour by increafing numbers on the fame territory, that you may be able to fet up manufactures and vie with your mother country ! you would have your people kept in a body, that you may be more able to difpure the commands of the crown, and obtain an independency. You would have the French left in Canada, to exercife your military virtue, and make you a warlike people, that you may have more confidence to embark in fchemes of difobedience, and greater ability to fupport them! You have tafted too, the fiweets of two or thrle millions Sterling per annum fpent among you by our fleets and forces, and you are unwilling to be without a pretence for kindling up another war, and thereby occafioning a repeticion of the fame delightful dofes! But gentlemen, allow us to underftand
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our intereft a litcle likewife: we fhall remove the French from Conada that you may live in peace, and we be no more drained by your quarrels. You fhall have land enough to cultivate, that you may have neither neceffity nor inclination to go into manufactures, and we will manufacture for you and govern you.

A reader of the remarks may be apt to fay; if this writer would have us reftore Canada on principles of moderation, how can we confiftent with thofe principles, retain Guadaloup, which he reprefents of fo much greater value! I will endeavour to explain this, becaufe by doing it I fall have an opportunity of fhowing the truth and good fenfe of the anfwer to the interefted application I have juft fuppofed. The author then is only apparently and not really inconfiftent with himfelf. If we can obtain the credit of moderation by reftoring Cana$d a$, it is well: but we fhould, however, reftore it at all events; becaufe it would not only be of no ufe to us, but "the poffefion of it (in his opinion) " may in its confequence be dangerous*." As how? Why, plainly, (at length it comes out) if the French are not left there to check the growth of our colonies, " they will extend themfelves almoft without " bounds into the in-land parts, and increafe in" finitely from all caufes;-becoming a numerous, " hardy, independent people, poffeffed of a ftrong " country, communicating little or not at all with "England, living wholly on their own labour, and " in procefs of time knowing little and enquiring "little about the mother country." In fhort, according to this writer, our prefent colonies are large enough and numerous enough, and the French ought to be left in North America to prevent their increafe, left they become not only ufclefs but dangerous to Britain.

[^12]
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I agree with the gentleman, that with Canada in our poffeffion, our people in America will increafe amazingly. I know, that their common rate of increafe, where they are not molefted by the enemy, is doubling their numbers every twenty five years by natural generation only, exclufive of the acceffion of foreigners.* I think this increafe continuing, would probably in a century more, make the number of Britijh fubjects on that fide the water more numerous than they now are on this; but I am far from entertaining on that account, any fears of their becoming either ufelefs or dangerous to us; and I look on thofe fears, to be merely imaginary and without any probable foundation. The remarker is referv'd in giving his reafons, as in his opinion this " is not a fit fubject for difcuffion." I fhall give mine, becaufe I conceive it a fubject neceffary to be difcufs'd ; and the rather, as thofe fears how groundlefs and chimerical foever, may by poffeffing the multitude, poffibly induce the ableft miniftry to conform to them againft their own judgment, and thereby prevent the affuring to the Britij/b name and nation a ftability and permanency that no man acquainted with hiftory durft have hoped for, 'till
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our Amerten poffeffions opened the pleafing pro fpect.

The remarker thinks that our people in America, " finding no check from Canada would ex" tend themfelves almon without bounds into the " inland parts, and increale infinitely from all " caufes." The very reafon he affigns for their fo extending, and which is indeed the true one, their being " invited to it by the pleafantnefs, fertility " and plenty of the country," may fatisfy us, that this extenfion will continue to proceed as long as there remains any pleafant fertile country within their reach. And if we even fuppofe them conan'd by the waters of the Milifipi weftward, and by thofe of St. Laurence and the lakes to the northward, yet ftill we fhall leave them room enough to increafe even in the $\int p a r \int e$ manner of fettling now prattis'd there, till they amount to perhaps a hundred millions of fouls. This muft take fome centuries to fulfil, and in the mean time, this nation mult neceflarily fupply them with the manufactures they confume, becaufe the new fettiers will be employ'd in agriculcure, and the new fettlements will fo concinually draw off the fpare hands from the old, that our prefent colonies will not, during the period we have mention'd, find themfelves in a condition to manufacture even for their own inhabitants, to any confiderable degree, much lefs for thofe who are fetting behind them. Thus our trade muft, till that country becomes as fully peopled as England, that is for centuries to come, be continually increafing, and with it our naval power; becaufe the ocean is between us and them, and our hhips and feamen muft increafe as that trade increafes.

The human body and the political differ in this, that the-firft is limited by nature to a certain ftature,
fature, which, when attain'd, it cannot, ordinarily, exceed; the other by better government and more prudent police, as well as by change of manners and other circumitances, often takes frefh farts of growth, after being long at a itand; and may add tenfold to the dimenfions it had for ages been confined to. The mother being of full fature, is in a few years equal'd by a growing daughter: but in the cafe of a mother country and her colonies, it is quite different. The growth of the children tends to encreafe the growth of the mother, and fo the difference and fuperiority is longer preferv'd.

Were the inhabitants of this ifland limited to their prefent number by any thing in nature, or by unchangeable circumftances, the equality of population between the two countries might indeed fooner come to pafs: but fure experience in thofe parts of the ifland where manufaclures have been introduc'd, teaches us, that people increafe and multiply in proportion as the means and facility of gaining a livelihood increafe; and that this inand, if they could be employed, is capable of fupporting ten times its prefent number of people. In proportion therefore, as the demand increafes for the manufactures of Britain, by the increafe of people in her colonies, the numbers of her people at home will increare, and with them the ftrength as well as the wealth of the nation. For fatisfaction in this point let the reader compare in his mind the number and force of our prefent fleets, with our fleet in Queen Elizabetb's time * before we had colonies. Let him compare the antient with the prefent fate of our towns and ports on our weftern coaft, Manchefter, Liverpool, Kendal, Lencafier, Glafyow, and the countries round them, that trade with and manufacture for our colonies, E

not
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not to mention Leeds, Halifax, Sbeffeld and Birmingbam, and confider what a difference there is in the numbers of people, buildings, rents, and the value of land and of the produce of land, even if he goes back no farther than is within man's memory. Let him compare thofe countries with ochers on this fame illand, where manufactures have not yet extended themfelves, obferve the prefent difference, and reflect how much greater our ftrength may be, if numbers give ftrength, when our manufacturers fhall occupy every part of the inland where they can poffibly be fubfifted.

But, fay the objectors, "there is a certain diftance from the fea, in America, beyond which the expence of carriage will put a fop to the fale and confumption of your manufactures; and this, with the difficulty of making returns for them, will oblige the inhabitants to manufacture for themfelves; of courfe, if you fuffer your people to extend their fettlements beyond that diftance, your people become ufelefs to you:" and this diftance is limited by fome to 200 miles, by others to the Apalacbian mountains. Not to infift on a very plain truth, that no part of a dominion, from whence a government may on occafion draw fupplies and aids both of men of money, tho' at too great a diftance to be fupply'd with manufactures from fome other part, is therefore to be deem'd ufelefs to the whole; I hall endeavour to fhow that thefe imaginary limits of utility, even in point of commerce are much too narrow.

The inland parts of the continent of Europe are much farther from the fea than the limits of fettlement propofed for America. Germany is full of tradefmen and artificers of all kinds, and the governments there, are not all of them always favourable to the commerce of Britain, yet it is a well-
well-known fact, that our manufactures find their way even into the heart of Germany. Afk the great manufacturers and merchants of the Leeds, Sbeffield, Birmingbam, Manchefer and Norwich goods, and they will tell you, that fome of them fend their riders frequently thro' France or Spain and Italy, up to Vienna and back thro' the middle and northern parts of Germany, to inow famples of their wares and collect orders, which they receive by almoft every mail, to a vait amount. Whatever charges arife on the carriage of goods, are added to the value, and all paid by the confumer. If thefe nations over whom we have no government, over whofe confumption we can have no influence, but what arifes from the cheapnefs and goodnefs of our wares; whofe trade, manufactures, or commercial connections are not fubject to the controul of our laws, as thofe of our colonies certainly are in fome degree: I fay, if thefe nations purchafe and confume fuch quantities of our goods, notwithftanding the remotenefs of their fituation from the fea; how much lefs likely is it that the fettlers in America, who muft for ages be employ'd in agriculture chiefly, fhould make cheaper for themfelves the goods our manufacturers at prefent fupply them with ; even if we fuppofe the carriage five, fix or feven hundred miles from the fea as difficult and expenfive as the like diftance into Germany: whereas in the latter, the natural diftances are frequently doubled by political obfructions, I mean the intermix'd territories and clafhing interefts of princes. But when we confider that the inland parts of America are penetrated by great navigable rivers; that there are a number of great lakes, communicating with each other, with thofe rivers and with the fea, very fmall portages here and there exE 2
cepted;
cepted;* that the fea coafts (if one may be allow'd the expreffion) of thofe lakes only, amount at leaft to 2700 miles, excluive of the rivers running into them; many of which are navigable to a great extent for boats and canoes, thro' vaft tracts of country; how little likely is it that the expence on the carriage of our goods into thofe countries, fhould prevent the ufe of them. If the poor Indians in thofe remote parts are now able to pay for the linnen, woolen and iron wares they are at prefent furniif'd with by the French and Englifh traders, tho' Indians have nothing but what they get by hunting, and the goods are loaded with all the impofitions fraud and knavery can contrive to inhance their value; will not induftrious Englijb farmers, hereafter fettled in thofe countries, be much better able to pay for what fhall be brought them in the way of fair commerce?

If it is anked, what can fuch farmers raife, wherewith to pay for the manufactures they may want from us? I anfwer, that the inland parts of America in queftion are well-known to be fitted for the production of hemp, flax, potafh, and above all filk; the fouthern parts, may produce olive oil, raifins, currans, indigo, and cochineal. Not to mention horfes and black cattle, which may eafily be driven to the maritime markets, and at the

[^15]the fame time affift in conveying other commodities. That the commodities firt mention'd, may eafily by water or land carriage be brought to the fea ports from interior America, will not feem incredible, when we reflect, that hemp formerly came from the Ukraine and moft fouthern parts of Rufia to Wologda, and down the Dwina to Archangel, and thence by a perilous navigation round the Nortb Cape to Englond and other parts of Europe. It now comes from the fame country up the Dnieper and down the Duna with much land carriage. Great part of the Rufia iron, no highpriced commodity, is brought 3000 miles by land and water from the heart of Siberia. Furs, [the produce too of America] are brought to Amferdam from all parts of Siberia, even the moft remote, Kamschatka. The fame country furnifhes me with another inflance of extended inland commerce. It is found worth while to keep up a mercantile communication between Peking in Cbina and Peterfburgh. And none of thefe inftances of inland commerce exceed thofe of the courfes by which, at feveral periods, the whole trade of the Eaft was carried on. Before the profperity of the Mamaluke dominion in Egypt fixed the faple for the riches of the Eaft at Cairo and Alexandria, whither they were brought from the Red Sea, great part of thofe commodities were carried to the cities of Cafogar and Balk. This gave birth to thofe towns, that ftill fubfift upon the remains of their ancient opulence, amid!t a people and country equally wild. From thence thofe goods were carried down the Amû, the ancient Oxus, to the Cafpion Sea, and up the Wolga to Afrachan, from whence they were carried over to, and down the Don to the mouth of that river, and thence again the Venetions directly, and the Genoefe and Venetions indirectly by way
of Kaffa and Trebifonde, difpers'd them thro' the Mediterrancan and fome other parts of Europe. Another part of thofe goods was carried over-land from the Wolga to the rivers Duna and Neva; from both they were carried to the city of Wifory in the Baltick, fo eminent for its fea-laws; and from the city of Ladoga on the Neva, we are told they were even carried by the Dwina to Archangel, and from thence round the North Cape.

If iron and hemp will bear the charge of carriage from this in-land country, other metals will as well as iron; and certainly filk, fince 3 d . per $l$. is not above 1 per cent. on the value, and amounts to L. 29 per ton.

If the growths of a country find their way out of $i t$, the manufactures of the countries where they go will infallibly find their way into it. They who underftand the œconomy and principles of manufactures, know, that it is impoffible to eftablifh them in places not populous; and even in thofe that are populous, hardly poffible to eftablifh them to the prejudice of the places already in pofferfion of them. Several attempts have been made in France and Spoin, countenanced by the government, to draw from us and eftablifn in thofe countries, our hard-ware and woolen manufactures, but without fuccefs. The reafons are various. A manufacture is part of a great fyftem of commerce, which takes in conveniencies of various kinds, methods of providing materials of all forts, machines for expediting and facilitating labour, all the channels of correfpondence for vending the wares, the credit and confidence neceffary to found and fupport this correfpondence, the mutual aid of different artizans, and a thoufand other particulars, which time and long experience have gradually eftablifhed. A part of fuch a fyftem cannot fupport itfelf without the whole, and before the whole can be obtained
the part perimes. Manufactures where they are in perfection, are carried on by a multiplicity of han $d$, each of which is expert only in his own part, no one of them a matter of the whole; and if by any means firited away to a foreign country, he is loft without his fellows. Then it is a matter of the extremeft difficulty to perfuade a compleat fet of workmen, fikilled in all parts of a manufactory to leave their country together and fettle in a foreign land. Some of the idle and drunken may be enticed away, but thefe only difappoint their employers, and ferve to difcourage the undertaking. If by roya! munificence, and an expence that the profits of the trade alone would not bear, a compleat fet of good and fkilful hands are collected and carried over, they.find fo much of the fyftem imperfect, fo many things wanting to carry on the trade to advantage, fo many difficulties to overcome, and the knot of hands fo eafily broken, by death, difiatisfaction and defertion, that they and their employers are difcouraged together, and the project vanifhes into fmoke. Hence it happens, that effablifhed manufactures are hardly ever loft, but by foreign conquef, or by fome eminent interior fault in manners or government; a bad police opprefing and difcouraging the workmen, or religious perfecutions driving the fober and induftrious out of the country. There is in fhort, fcarce a fingle inftance in hiftory of the contrary, where manufactures have once taken firm root. They fometimes ftart up in a new place, but are generally fupported like exotic plants at more expence than they are worth for any thing but curiofity, until thefe new feats become the refuge of the manufacturers driven from the old ones. The conqueft of Conftantinople and final reduction of the Greek empire, difperfed many curious manufactu-
rers into different parts of Cbriftendom. The former conquefts of its provinces had before done the fame. The lofs of liberty in Verona, Milan, Florence, PiJa, Pifoia, and other great cities of Italy, drove the manufacturers of woolen cloth into Spain and Flanders. The latter firft loft their trade and manufacturers to Antwerp and the cities of Brabont, from whence by perfecution for religion they were fent into Holland and England. The civil wars during the minority of Cbarles the firft of Spain, which ended in the lofs of the liberty of their great towns, ended too in the lofs of the manufactures of Toledo, Segovia, Salamanca, Medina del campo, $\underbrace{3}$ c. The revocation of the edict of Nantes, communicated, to all the Proteftant parts of Europe, the paper, filk, and other valuable manufactures of France, almoft peculiar at that time to that country, and till then in vain attempted elfewhere.

To be convinc'd that it is not foil and climate, or even freedom from taxes, that determines the refidence of manufacturers, we need only turn our eyes on Holland, where a multitude of manufactures are ftill carried on (perhaps more than on the fame extent of territory any where in Europe) and fold on terms upon which they cannot be had in any other part of the world. And this too is true of thofe growths, which by their nature and the labour required to raife them, come the neareft to manufactures.

As to the common-place objection to the Norllo Americora fettlements, that they are in the fame climate and their produce the fame as that of Engr land; in the firt place it is not true; it is particularly not fo of the countries now likely to be added to our fettlements; and of our prefent colonies, the products, lumber, tobacco, rice and indigo, great articles of commerce do not interfere with the pro-
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ducts of England: in the next place, a man muft know very little of the trade of the world, who does not know, that the greater part of it is carried on between countries whofe climate differs very little. Even the trade between the different parts of thefe Britifb inlands, is greatly fuperior to that between England and all the Weft-India inlands put together.

If I have been fucceffful in proving that a confiderable commerce may and will fubfift between us and our future moft inland fettlements in North America, notwithftanding their diftance, I have more than half proved no other inconveniency will arife from their diftance. Many men in fuch a country, muft " know," mift "tbink," and muft "care" about the country they chiefly trade with." The juridical and other connections of government are yet a fafter hold than even commercial ties, and fpread directly and indirectly far and wide. Bufinefs to be folicited and caufes depending, create a great intercourfe even where private property is not divided in different countries, yet this divifion will always fubfift where different countries are ruled by the fame government. Where a man has landed property both in the mother country and a province, he will almoft always live in the mother country: this, though there were no trade, is fingly a fufficient gain. It is faid, that Ireland pays near a million Sterling annually to its abfentees in England: 'The ballance of trade from Spain or even Portugal is farcely equal to this.

Let it not be faid we have no abfentees from Nortb-America. There are many to the writer's knowledge; and if there are at prefent but few of them that diftinguifh themfelves here by great ext pence, it is owing to the mediocrity of fortune among the inhabitants of the Nortberv colonies; and

## [ 34 ]

a more equal divifion of landed property, than in the Weff-India inlands, fo that there are as yet but few large cftates. But if thofe who have fuch eftates, refide upon and take care of them themfelves, are they worfe fubjects than they would be if they lived idly in England? Great merit is affumed for the gentlemen of the $W e f$-Indies *, on the fcore of their refiding and fpending their money in Englend. I would not depreciate that merit; it is confiderable, for they might, if they pleafed fpend their money in Erance: but the difference between their fpending it bere and at bome is not fo great. What do they fpend it in when they are here, but the produce and manufactures of this country; and would they not do the fame if they were at home? Is it of any great importance to the Englifh farmer, whether the Wef-India gentleman comes to London and eats his beef, pork, and tongues, frefh, or has them brought to him in the Weft-Indies falted; whether he eats his Englifb cheefe and butter or drinks his Englifh ale at London or in Barbadoes? Is the clothier's, or the mercer's, or the cutler's, or the toy-man's profit lefs, for their goods being worn and confumed by the fame perfons refiding on the other fide of the ocean? Would not the profits of the merchant and mariner be rather greater, and fome addition made to our navigation, fhips and feamen? If the North-American gentleman ftays in his own country, and lives there in that degree of luxury and expence with regard to the ufe of Britijh manufactures, that his fortune entitles him to ; may not his example (from the imitation of fuperiors fo natural to mankind) fpread the ufe of thofe manufactures among hundreds of families around him, and occafion

[^16]occafion a much greater demand for them, than it would do if he fhould remove and live in London?

However this may be, if in our views of immediate advantage, it feems preferable that the gentlemen of large fortunes in North America fhould refide much in England, 'tis what may furely be expected as faft as fuch fortunes are acquired there. Their having is colleges of their own for " the education of their youth," will not prevent it: A little knowledge and learning acquired, increafes the appetite for more, and will make the converfation of the learned on this fide the water more ftrongly defired. Ireland has its univerfity likewife; yet this does not prevent the immenfe pecuniary benefit we receive from that kingdom. And there will always be in the conveniencles of life, the politenefs, the pleafures, the magnificence of the reigning country, many other attractions befides thofe of learning, to draw men of fubftance there, where they can, apparently at leaft, have the beft bargain of happinefs for their money.

Our trade to the $W e f$-India inands is undoubtedly a valuable one: but whatever is the amount of it, it has long been at a ftand. Limited as our fugar planters are by the fcantinefs of territory, they cannot increafe much beyond their prefent number; and this is an evil, as I hall flow hereafter, that will be little helped by our keeping Guadaloupe. The trade to our Nortbern Colonies, is not only greater, but yearly increafing with the increafe of people: and even in a greater proportion, as the people increafe in wealth and the ability of fpending as well as in numbers. I have already faid, that our people in the Northern Colonies double in about 25 years, exclufive of the acceffion of ftrangers. That I fpeak within bounds, I appeal to the F 2
authentic
authentic accounts frequently required by the board of trade, and tranfmitted to that board by the refpective governors; of which accounts I hall felect one as a fample, being that from the colony of Rbode-Ifland *; a colony that of all the others receives the leaft addition from ftrangers. For the increafe of our trade to thofe colonies, I refer to the accounts frequently laid before Parliament, by the officers of the cuftoms, and to the cuftomhoufe books: from which I have alfo felected one account, that of the trade from Eingland (exclufive of Scotland) to Penfluania $\dagger$; a colony moft remarkable

* Coly of the Report of Governor Hot kinn to the Beardof Trade,
ont one Number's of Peple e in Rhode-1fund.
. In obedience to your lordhins' commands. I have caufed the within account to be taken by officers under oath. By it there appears to be in this colony at this time 35,939 white perfons, and 4697 blacks, chiefly negroes.

In the year 1730 , by order of the then lords commifioners of trade and plantations, an account was taken of the number of people in this colony, and then there appeared to be 15,302 white perfons, and $26 ; 3$ blacks.

Again in the year 1748 , by like order, an account was taken of the number of people in this colony, by which it appears there were at that time 29.755 whise perfons, and 4373 blacks.

Stephen Hopxins.

$$
\text { Colony of Rbode-Ifiand }{ }_{2}
$$

Dec. 24. $1755^{\circ}$

+ An Account of the Va'ue of the Exports from England to Perifyt vania, in one Tear, taken at differcnt Periods, viz.

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { In } & 1723\end{array}$ | they amounted only to. | L. 15,492 ${ }^{2} 19$ : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.730 | they were | 48,592: 7: |
| 1737 |  | 56,690: 6: |
| 1742 |  | 75,295: 3: |
| 1747 |  | 82,404: 17 : |
| ${ }^{3} 752$ |  | 201,666: 19:17 |
| 3757 |  | 268,426: 6 |

N: B. The accounts for 1758 and 1759 are not yet compleated; but thofe acquainted with the Nortb American trade,

## [ 37 ]

markable for the plain frugal manner of living of its inhabitants, and the moft fufpected of carrying on manufactures on account of the number of German artizans, who are known to have tranfplanted themfelves into that country, though even thefe, in truth, when they come there, generally apply themfelves to agriculture as the fureft fupport and moft advantageous employment. By this account: it appears, that the exports to that province have in 28 years, increafed nearly in the proportion of 17 to 1 ; whereas the people themfelves, who by other authentic accounts appear to double their numbers (the ftrangers who fettle there included) in about 16 years, cannot in the 28 years have increafed in a greater proportion than as 4 to 1 : the additional demand then, and confumption of goods from England, of 13 parts in 17 more than the additional number would require, muft be owing to this, that the people having by their induftry mended their circumftances, are enabled to indulge themfelves in finer cloaths, better furniture, and a more general ufe of all our manufac... tures than heretofore. In fact, the occafion for: Englifh goods in Nortb America, and the inclination: to have and ufe them, is, and muft be for ages to come, much greater than the ability of the people to pay for them; they muft therefore, as they now do, deny themfelves many things they would otherwife chufe to have, or increafe their induftry' to obtain them; and thus, if they fhould at any time manufacture fome coarfe article, which on account

[^17]
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of its buik or fome other circumfance, cannot fo well be brought to them from Britain, it only cnables them the better to pay for finer goods that otherwife they could not indulge themfelves in: So that the exports thither are not diminifhed by fuch manufacture but rather increafed. The fingle article of manufacture in there colonies mentioned by the remarker, is bats made in Nero-England. It is true there have been ever fince the Girf fettlement of that country, a few hatters there, drawn thither probably at firft by the facility of getting beaver, while the woods were but little clear'd, and there was plenty of thole animals. The cafe is greatly alter'd now. The beaver fkins are not now to be had in New England, but from very remote places and at great prices. The trade is accordingly declining there, fo that, far from being abie to make hats in any quantity for exportation, they cannot fupply their home demand; and it is well known that fome thoufand dozens are fent thither yearly from London, and fold there cheaper than the inhabitants can make them of equal goodneis. In fact, the colonies are fo little fuited for eftablifhing of manufactures, that they are continually lofing the few branches they accidentally gain. The working brafiers, cutiers, and pewterers, as well as hatters, who have happened to go over from time to time and fettle in the colonies, gradually drop the working part of their bufinefs, and import their refpective goods from England, whence they can have them cheaper and better than they can make them. They continue their fhops indeed, in the fame way of dealing, but become follers of brafiery, cutlery, pewter, hats, $E_{c}$ c. brought from England, infead of being makers of thofe goods.

Thus
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Thus much as to the apprehenfion of our colonies becoming ufelefs to us. I fhall next confider the other fuppofition, that their growth may render them dangerous. Of this I own, I have not the leaft conception, when I confider that we have already fourteen feparate governments on the maritime coaft of the continent, and if we extend our fettlements fhall probably have as many more behind them on the inland fide. Thofe we now have, are not only under different governors, but have different forms of government, different laws, different interefts, and fome of them different religious perfuafions and different manners. Their jealoufy of each other is fo great that however neceffary an union of the colonies has long been, for their common defence and fecurity ag aint their enemies, and how feniible foever each colony has been of that neceffity, yet they have never been able to effect fuch an union among themfelves, nor even to agree in requefting the mother country to eftablifh it for them. Nothing but the immediate command of the crown has been able to produce even the imperfect union but lately feen there, of the forces of fome colonies. If they could not agree to unite for their defence againft the French and Indions, who were perpetually harafing their fettlements, burning their villages, and murdering their people; can it reafonably be fuppofed there is any danger of their uniting againft their own nation, which protects and encourages them, with which they have fo many connections and ties of blood, intereft and affection, and which 'tis well known they all love much more than they love one another? In fhort, there are fo many caufes that muft operate to prevent it, that I will venture to fay, an union amongft them for fuch a purpofe is not merely improbable, it is impoffible; and if the union of the
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the whole is impoffible, the attempt of a pare muft be madnefs : as thofe colonies that did not join the rebellion, would join the mother country in fuppreffing it.

When I fay fuch an union is imponible, I mean without the moft grievous tyranny and oppreffion. People who have property in a country which they may lofe, and privileges which they may endanger; are generally difpos'd to be quiet; and even to bear much, rather than hazard all. While the government is mild and juft, while important civil and religious rights are fecure, fuch fubjects will be dutiful and obedient. The waves do not rife, but when the winds blow. What fuch an adminiftration as the Duke of Alva's in the Netberlands, might produce, I know not; but this I think I have a right to deem impoffible. And yet there were two very manifeft differences between that cafe, and ours, and both are in our favour. The firft, that Spain had already united the feventeen piovinces under one vifible government, tho' the ftates continued independent: The fecond, that the inhabitants of thofe provinces were of a nation, not only different from, but utterly unlike the spaniards. Had the Netberlands been peopled from Spain, the worft of oppreffion had probably not provolked them to wifh a feparation of government. It might and probably would have ruined the country, but would never have produced an independent fovereignty. In fact, neither the very wort of governments, the worft of politicks in the laft century, nor the total abolition of their remaining liberty, in the provinces of Spain itfelf, in the prefent, have produced any independency that could be fupported. The fame may be obferved of France. And let it not be faid that the neighbourhood of thefe to the feat of government has prevented
prevented a feparation. While our ftrength at fea continues, the banks of the Obio, (in point of eafy and expeditious conveyance of troops) are nearer to London, than the remote parts of France and Spain to their refpective capitals; and much nearer than Connaught and Ulfer were in the days of Queen Elizabetb. No body foretels the diffolution of the Rufion monarchy from its extent, yet I will venture to fay, the eaftern parts of it are already much more inaccefible from Peterfourgh, than the country on the Mifind $i$ is from Loudon; I mean more men, in lefs time, might be conveyed the latter than the former diftance. The rivers Oby, Fenefea and Lena, do not facilitate the communication half fo well by their courfe, nor are they half fo practicable as the Americon rivers. To this I fhall only add the obfervation of Maibiavel, in his Prince, that a government feldom long preferves its dominion over thofe who are foreigners to it ; who on the other hand fall with great eafe, and continue infeparably annex'd to the government of their own nation, which he proves by the fate of the Englifh conquefts in France.

Yet with all thefe difadvantages, fo difficult is it to overturn an eftablifhed government, that it was not without the affiftance of France and England, that the United Prorinces fupported themfelves: which teaches us, that if the vifionary danger of independence in our colonies is to be feared, nothing is more likely to render it fubftantial than the neighbourhood of foreigners at enmity with the fovereign government, capable of giving either aid or an afylum, as the event frall require. Yet againft even thefe difadvantages, did Spain pre... ferve almoft ten provinces, merely thro' their want of union, which indeed could never have taken place among the others, but for caufes; fome
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of which are in our cafe impoffible, and others it is impious to fuppofe poffible.

The Romans well underftood that policy which teaches the fecurity arifing to the chief government from feparate ftates among the governed, when they reftored the liberties of the ftates of Greece, (oppreffed but united under Macedon,) by an edict that every fate fhould live under its own laws.* They did not even name a governor. Independence of each otber, and feparate interefts, tho' among a people united by common manners, language, and I may fay religion, inferior neither in wifdom, bravery, nor their love of liberty, to the Romans themfelves, was all the fecurity the fovereigns wifhed for their fovereignty. It is true, they did not call themfelves fovereigns; they fet no value on the title; they were contented with poffefing the thing; and poffers it they did, even without a ftanding army. What can be a ftronger proof of the fecurity of their poffeffion? And yet by a policy fimilar to this throughout, was the Roman world fubdued and held: a world compos'd of above an hundred languages and fets of manners different from thofe of their mafters. $\dagger$ Yet this dominion was unfhakeable, till the lofs of liberty and corruption of manners overturned it.

[^18]
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But what is the prudent policy inculcated by the remarker, to obtain this end, fecurity of dominion over our colonies: It is, to leave the French in Canada, to "cbeck" their growth, for otherwife our people may " increafe infinitely from all "caufes." * We have already feen in what manner the French and their Indians check the growth of our colonies. 'Tis a modeft word, this, check, for maflacring men, women and children. The writer would, if he could, hide from himfelf as well as from the public, the horror arifing from fuch a propofal, by couching it in general terms: "tis no wonder he thought it a " fubject not fit for dif"cufion" in his letter, tho' he recommends it as " a point that Chould be the conitant object of the " minifter's attention!"-But if Conada is reftored on this principle, will not Britain be guilty of all the blood to be fhed, all the murders to be committed in order to check this dreaded growth of our own people? Will not this be telling the French in plain terms, that the horrid barbarities they perpetrate with their Indians on our colonifts, are agreeable to us; and that they need not apprehend the refentment of a government with whofe views they fo happily concur? Will not the colonies view it in this light? Will they have reafon to confider themfelves any longer as fubjects and children, when they find their cruel enemies halloo'd upon them by the country from whence they fprung, the government that owes them proG 2 tection
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tection as it requires their obedience? Is not this the mot likely means of driving them into the arms of the Frencts, who can invite them by an offer of that fecurity their own government chufes not to afford them? I would not be thought to infinuate that the remarker wants humanity. I know how little many good-natured perfons are affected by the diftreffes of people at a diftance and whom they do not know. There are even thofe, who, being prefent, can fympathize fincerely with the grief of a lady on the fudden death of her favourite bird, and yet can read of the finking of a city in Syria with very little concern. If it be, after all, thought neceffary to cbeck the growth of our colonies, give me leave to propofe a method leís cruel. It is a method of which we have an example in feripture. The murder of hufbands, of wives, of brothers, fifters, and children whofe pleafing fociety has been for fome time enjoyed, affects deeply the refpective furviving rel.ations: but grief for the death of a child juft born is fhort and eafily fupported. The method I mean is that which was dictated by the Egyptian policy, when the " infinite increafe" of the children of Ifrael was apprehended as dangerous to the ftate.* Let an act of parliament, than be made, enjoining the colony midwives to ftille in the birth every third or fourth child. By this means you may keep the colonies to their prefent fize. And if they were under the hard alternative of fubmitting to one or the other of thefe fchemes for
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for checking their growth, I dare anfwer for them, they would prefer the latter.

But all this debate about the propriety or impropriety of keeping or reftoring Canada, is poffibly too early. We have taken the capital indeed, but the country is yet far from being in our porfeffion; and perhaps never will be: for if our M —_rs are perfuaded by fuch counfellors as the remarker, that the French there are " not the "worlt of neighbours," and that if we had conquered Canada, we ought for our own fakes to reftore it, as a check to the growth of our colonies, I am then afraid we fhall never take it. For there are many ways of avoiding the completion of the conqueft, that will be lefs exceptionable and lefs odious than the giving it up.

The objection I have often heard, that if we had Canada, we could not people it, without draining Britain of its inhabitants, is founded on ignorance of the nature of population in new countries. When we firft began to colonize in America, it was neceffary to fend people, and to fend feed-corn; but it is not now neceffary that we fhould furnifh, for a new colony, either one or the other. The annual increment alone of our prefent colonies, without diminifhing their numbers, or requiring a man from hence, is fufficient in ten years to fill Canada with double the number of Englifh that it now has of French inhabitants *. Thofe who are proteftants among the French, will probably chufe to remain under the Englifs government ; many will chufe to remove if they can be allowed to fell their lands improvements and effects: the reft in that thinfettled

[^21]fetted country, will in lefs than half a century, from the crowds of Englijh fettling round and among them, be blended and incorporated with our people both in language and manners.

In Guadalupe the cafe is fomewhat different; and though I am far from thinking * we have fugarland enough $\dagger$, I cannot think Guadalupe is fo defirable an increafe of it, as other objects the enemy would probably be infinitely more ready to part with. A country fully inbabited by any nation is no proper poffefion for another of different language, manners and religion. It is hardly ever tenable at lefs expence than it is worth.-But the inle of Cayenne, and its appendix Equinoctial-France, would indeed be an acquifition every way fuitable to our fituation and defires. This would hold all that migrate from Barbadoes, the Leward-Jlands, or Gamaica. It would certainly recal into an Englifo government (in which there would be room for millions) all who have before fettled or purchafed in Martinico, Guadalupe, Santa-Cruz or St. Fobn's; except fuch as know not the value of an Englijb government, and fuch I am fure are not worth recalling.

But fhould we keep Guadalupe, we are told it would enable us to export f. 300,000 in fugars. Admit it to be true, though perhaps the amazing increafe of Englifh confumption might ftop moft of it here, to whofe profit is this to redound? to the

* Remarks, p. 30, 34.
$\dagger$ It is often faid we have plenty of fugar-land fill unemployed in Tamaica: but thofe who are well acquainted with that ifland, know, that the remaining vacant land in it is generally fituated among mountains, rocks and gullies, that make carriage impracticable, fo that no profitable ufe can be made of it, unlefs the price of fugars fhould fo greatly increafe as to enable the planter to make very expenfive roads, by blowing up rocks, ereeting bridges, $\delta \%$. every 2 or 300 yards.
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the profit of the French inhabitants of the inand: except a fmall part that fhould fall to the fhare of the Englifh purchafers, but whofe whole purchafemoney muft firft be added to the wealth and circulation of France.

I grant, however, much of this $£ .300,000$ would be expended in Briti乃s manufactures. Perhaps, too, a few of the land-owners of Guadalupe might dwell and fpend their fortunes in Britain, (though probably much fewer than of the inhabitants of North America). I admit the advantagearifing to us from thefe circumfances, (as far as they go) in the cafe of Guadalupe, as well as in that of our other Weff India fettlements. Yet even this confumption is little better than that of an allied nation would be, who fhould take our manufactures and fupply us with fugar, and put us to no expence in defending the place of growth.

But though our own colonies expend among us almolt the whole produce of our fugar *, can we or ought we to promife ourfelves this will be the cafe of Guadalupe. One $100,000 \mathrm{f}$. will fupply them with Briti/b manufactures; and fuppofing we can effectually prevent the introduction of thofe of France, (which is morally impoffible in a country ufed to them) the other 200,000 will fill be fpent in France, in the education of their children and fupport of themfelves; or elfe be laid up there, where they will always think their home to be.

Befides this confumption of Britib manufactures, much is faid of the benefit we fhall have from the fituation of Guadalupe, and we are told of a trade to the Caraccas and Spani/h Main. In what refpect Guadalupe is better fituated for this trade than famaica, or even any of our other inands, I am at a lofs to guefs. I believe it to be
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not fo well fituated for that of the windward coaft, as Tobago and St. Lucia, which in this as well as other refpects, would be more valuable poffefions, and which, I doubt not, the peace will fecure to us. Nor is it nearly fo well fituated for that of the reft of the Spanib Main as Famaica. As to the greater fafety of our trade by the poffeffion of Guadalupe, experience has convinced us that in reducing a fingle inland, or even more, we ftop the privateering bufinefs but little. Privateers ftill fubift, in equal if not greater numbers, and carry the veffels into Martinico which before it was more convenient to carry into Guadalupe. Had we all the Caribbees, it is true, they would in thofe parts be without fhelter. Yet upon the whole I fuppofe it to be a doubtful point and well worth confideration, whether our obtaining poffeffion of all the Ca ribbees, would be more than a temporary benefit, as it would neceffarily foon fill the French part of Hijpaniola with French inhabitants, and thereby render it five times more valuable in time of peace, and little lefs than impregnable in time of war; and would probably end in a few years in the uniting the whole of that great and fertile inland under a French government. It is agreed on all hands, that our conqueft of St. Cbrifopbers, and driving the French from thence, firft furnih'd Hispaniola with fkilful and fubftantial planters, and was confequently the firft occafion of its prefent opulence. On the other hand, I will hazard an opinion, that valuable as the French poffeffions in the Weft Indies are, and undeniable the advantages they derive from them, there is fomewhat to be weighed in the oppofite fcale. They cannot at prefent make war with England, without expofing thofe advantages while divided among the numerous iflands they now have, much more than they would, were they por-
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feffed of St. Domingo only; their own thare of which would, if well cultivated, grow more fugar, than is now grown in all their $W$ eft India illands.

I have before faid I do not deny the utility of the conqueft, or even of our future poffeffion of Guatlalupe, if not bought too dear. The trade of the Weft Indies is one of our moft valuable trades. Our poffeffions there deferve our greateft care and attention. So do thofe of North America. I Thall not enter into the invidious tafk of comparing their due eftimation. It would be a very long and a very difagreeable one, to run thro' every thing material on this head. It is enough to our prefent point, if I have fhown, that the value of North America is capable of an immenfe increafe, by an acquifition and meafures, that muft neceffarily have an effect the direct contrary of what we have been induftrioully taught to fear; and that Guadalupe is, in point of advantage, but a very fmall addition to our $W$ eft India poffeffions, rendered many ways lefs valuable to us than it is to the French, who will probably fet more value upon it than upon a country that is much more valuable to us than to them.

There is a great deal more to be faid on all the parts of thefe fubjects; but as it would carry me into a detail that I fear would tire the patience of my readers, and which I am not without apprehenfions I have done already, I fhall referve what remains till I dare venture again on the indulgence of the publick.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{F} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~S} .
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In Confirmation of the Writer's Opinion concerning Population, Manufoclures, Evc. he has thought it not amifs to add an Extract from a Piece written fome Years fince in America, where the Facts muft be well known, on which the Reafonings are founded. It is intitled

## OBSERVATIONS

## CONCERNINGTHE

## Increase of Mankind,

## Peopling of Countries, $\mathscr{E} C$.

Written in Pensilvania, 1751.

1. TABLES of the proportion of marriages to births, of deaths to births, of marriages to the numbers of inhabitants, \&c. formed on obfervations made upon the bills of mortality, chriftenings, \&c. of populous cities, will not fuit countries; nor will tables formed on obfervations made on full fettled old countries, as Europe, fuit new countries, as America.
2. For people increafe in proportion to the number of marriages, and that is greater in proportion to the eafe and convenience of fupporting a family. When families can be eafily fupported, more perfons marry, and earlier in life.
3. In cities, where all trades, occupations and offices are full, many delay marrying, till they can fee how to bear the charges of a family; which charges are greater in cities, as luxury is more common; many live fingle during life, and continue fervants to families, journeymen
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to trades, \&c. hence cities do not by natural generation fupply themfelve's with inhabitants; the deaths are more than the births.
4. In countries full fettled, the cafe muft be nearly the fame; all lands being occupied and improved to the heighth; thofe who cannot get land, muft labour for others that have it; when labourers are plenty, their wages will be low; by low wages a family is fupported with difficulty; this difficulty deters many from marriage, who therefore long continue fervants and fingle.
Only as the cities take fupplies of people from the country, and thereby make a little more room in the country, marriage is a little more encouraged there, and the births exceed the deaths.
5. Great part of Europe is full fettled with hubbandmen, manufacturers, \&c. and therefore cannot now much increafe in people: America is chiefly occupied by Indians, who fubfift moftly by hunting -_But as the hunter, of all men, requires the greateft quantity of land from whence to draw his fubfiftence, (the hufbandman fubfifting on much lefs, the Gardener on ftill lefs, and the manufacturer requiring leaft of all) the Europeans found America as fuily fettled as it woll could be by hunters; yet thefe having large tracts, were eafily prevailed on to part with portions of territory to the new comers, who did not much interfere with the natives in hunting, and furnifhed them with many things they wanted.
6. Land being thus plenty in America, and fo cheap as that a labouring man; that underftands hufbandry, can in a fhort time fave money enough to purchafe a piece of new. land fufficient for a plantation, whereon he may fubfint a family; fuch are not afraid to marry; for if they even look far enough forward to confider how their children when grown up are to be provided for, they fee that more land is to be had at rates equally eafy, all circumftances confidered.
7. Hence marriages in America are more general, and more generally early, than in Europe. And if it is reckoned there, that there is but one marriage fer annum among 100 perfons, perhaps we may here reckon two; and if in Europe they have but four births to a marriage (many of their marriages being late) we may here reckon eight; of which if one half grow up, and our marriages
are made, reckoning one with another, at twenty years of age, our people muft at leaft be doubled every twenty years.
8. But notwithftanding this increafe, fo vaft is the territory of Norib America, that it will require many ages to fettle it fully; and till it is fully fettled, labour will never be cheap here, where no man continues long a labourer for others. but gets a plantation of his own; no man continues long a journeyman to a trade, but goes among thofe new lettlers, and fets up for himfelf, \&c. Hence labour is no cheaper now, in Penfilvania, than it was thirty years ago, tho fo many thoufand labouring people have been imported from Germany and Ireland.
9. The danger therefore of thefe colonies interfering with their mother country in trades that depend on labour, manufactures, \&c. is too remote to require the attertion of Great Britain.
10. But in proportion to the increafe of the colonies, a vaft demand is growing for Britifn manufactures; a glorious market wholly in the power of Britain, in which foreigners cannot interfere, which will increafe in a fhort time even beyond her power of fupplying, tho' her whole trade fould be to her colonies. * * * * * * * * *
12. 'Tis an ill-grounded opinion that by the labour of flaves, America may poffibly vie in cheapnefs of manufactures with Britain. The labour of flaves can never be fo cheap here as the labour of working men is in Britain. Any one may compute it. Intereft of money is in the colonies from 6 to 10 per Cerit. Slaves one with another coft 30 l . Sterling per head. Reckon then the intereft of the firft purchafe of a flave, the infurance or rifque on his life, his cloathing and diet, expences in his ficknefs and lofs of time, lofs by his neglect of bufinefs (neglect is natural to the man who is not to be benefited by his own care or diligence), expence of a driver to keep him at work, and his pilfering from time to time, almoft every flave being from the nature of flavery a thief, and compare the whole amount with the wages of a manufacturer of iron or wool in England, you will fee that labour is much cheaper there than it ever can be by negroes here. Why then will Americans purchafe flaves? Becaufe flaves may be kept as long as a man pleafes, or has occafion for their labour; while hired men are continually leaving
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their mafter (often in the midft of his bufinefs) and feting up for themfelves. \$8.
13. As the increare of people depends on the encouragement of marriages, the folliowing things muft diminith a nation, wiz. I. The being conquered; for the conquerors will engrofs as many offices, and exact as much tribute or profit on the labour of the conquered, as will maintain them in their new eftablifhment; and this diminifhing the fubfifence of the natives, difcourages their marriages, and fo gradually diminifhes them, while the foreigners increafe. 2. Lofs of territory. Thus the Britons being driven into $W$ ales, and crouded together in a barren country infufficient to fupport fuch great numbers, diminifhed till the people bore a proportion to the produce, while the Saxons increafed on their abandoned lands, 'till the inand became full of Englifh. And were the Englifh now driven into $W$ ales by fome foreign nation, there would in a few years be no more Engli/jhnen in Britain, than there are now people in Wales. 3. Lofs of trade. Manufactures exported, draw fubfiftence from foreign countries for numbers; who are thereby enabled to marry and raile families. If the nation be deprived of any branch of trade, and no new employment is found for the people occupy'd in that branch, it will foon be deprived of fo many people. 4. Lofs of food. Suppofe a nation has a fifhery, which not only employs great numbers, but makes the food and fubfiftence of the people cheaper: if another nation becomes mafter of the feas', and prevents the fifhery, the people will diminifh in proportion as the lofs of employ, and dearnefs of provifion makes it more dificult to fubfiit a family. 5. Bad government and infecure property. People not only leave fuch a country, and retting abroad incorporate with other, nations, lofe their native language, and become foreigners; but the induftry of thofe that remain being difcouraged, the quantity of fubfiftence in the country is leffiened, and the fupport of a family becomes more difficult. So heavy taxes tend to diminifh a people. 6. The introduction of flaves. The negroes brought into the Englifh fugar inands, have greatly diminifhed the whites there; the poor are by this means deprived of employment, while a few families acquire vaft effates, which they fpend on foreign luxuries, and educating their children in the habit of thofe luxuries; the fame income is needed for the fupport of one, that
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might have maintained one hundred. The whites who have flaves not labouring, are enfeebled, and thèrefore not fo generally prolific; the flaves being worked too hard, and ill fed, their conftitutions are broken, and the deaths among them are more than the births; fo that a continual fupply is needed from Africa. The northern colonies having few flaves, encreafe in whites. Slaves alfo pejorate the families that ufe them; the white children become proud, difgufted with labour, and being educated in idlenefs, are rendered unfit to get a living by induftry.
14. Hence the prince that acquires new territory, if he finds it vacant, or removes the natives to give his own people room; the legiflator that makes effectual laws for promoting of trade, increafing employment, improving land by more or better tillage, providing more food by fifheries, fecuring property, $\mathcal{F}^{\circ} c$. and the man that invents new trades, arts or manufactures, or new improvements in hufbandry, may be properly called Fathers of their Na tion, as they are the caufe of the generation of multitudes, by the encouragement they afford to marriage.
15. As to privileges granted to the married, (fuch as the jus trium liberorum among the Romans) they may haften the filling of a country that has been thinned by war or peftilence, or that has otherwife vacant territory, but cannot increafe a people beyond the means provided for their fubfiftence.
16. Foreign luxuries and needlefs manufactures imported and ufed in a nation, do, by the fame reafoning, increafe the people of the nation that furnifhes them, and diminifh the people of the nation that ufes them. Laws therefore that prevent fuch importations, and on the contrary promote the exportation of manufactures to be confumed in foreign coultries, may be called (with refpect to the people that make them) generative laws, as by increafing fubfiftence they encourage marriage. Such laws likewife frengthen a country doubly, by increafing its own people and dimininhing its neighbours.
17. Some European nations prudently refufe to confume the manufactures of Eaf-India:- They thould likewife forbid them to their colonies; for the gain to the merchant is not to be compared with the lofs by this means of people to the nation.
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18. Home luxury in the great increafes the nation's manufacturers employed by it, who are many, and only tends to diminifh the families that indulge in it, who are few. The greater the common fafhionable expence of any rank of people, the more cautious they are of marriage. Therefore luxury fhould never be fuffered to besome common.
19. The great increafe of offspring in particular families, is not always owing to greater fecundity of nature, but fometimes to examples of induftry in the heads, and induftrious education; by which the children are enabled to provide better for themfelves, and their marrying early is encouraged from the profpect of good fubfiltence.
20. If there be a fect therefore, in our nation , that $^{\text {th }}$ regard frugality and induftry as religious duties, and educate their children therein, more than others commonly do ; fuch fect muft confequently increafe more by natural generation, than any other feat in Britain.-

2I. The importation of foreigners into a country that has as many inhabitants as the prefent employments and provifions for fubfiftence will bear, will be in the end no increafe of people, unlefs the new-comers have more induftry and frugality than the natives, and then they will provide more fubfiftence and increafe in the country; but they will gradually eat the natives out.Nor is it neceffary to bring in foreigners to fill up any occafional vacancy in a country; for fuch vacancy (if the laws are good, $\delta 14,16$ ) will foon be filled by natural generation. Who can now find the vacancy made in Sweden, France, or other warlike nations, by the plague of heroifm 40 years ago; in France, by the expulhon of the proteftants; in England, by the fettlement of her colonies; or in Guinea, by 100 years exportation of flaves that has blackened half America? - The thinnefs of the inhabitants in Spain, is owing to national pride and idlenefs, and other caufes, rather than to the expulfion of . the Miors, or to the making of new fettlements.
22. There is in fhort no bound to the prolific nature of plants or animals, but what is made by their crowding and interfering with each other's means of fubfiftence. Was the face of the earth vacant of other plants, it might be gradually fowed and overfpread with one kind only; as for inflance, with Fennel ; and were it empty of other inhabi-
inhabitants, it might in a few age be replenifhed from one nation only; as for inftance, with Englifmen. Thus there are fuppofed to be now upwards of one Million Englifh fouls in North-America, (tho' 'tis thought fcarce $80,0 c o$ have been brought over fea) and yet perhaps there is not one the fewer in Britain, but rather many more, on account of the employment the colonies afford to manufacturers at home. This million doubling, fuppofe but once in 25 years, will in another century be more than the people of England, and the greatelt number of Englifmen will be on this fide the water. What an acceffion of power to the Britifh empire by fea as well as land! What increafe of trade and navigation! What numbers of hips and feamen! We have been here but little more than 100 years, and yet the force of, our privateers in the late war, united, was greater, both in men and guns, than that of the whole Britifh navy in queen Elizabeth's time. -How important an affair then to Britain, is the prefent treaty * for fettling the bounds between her colonies and the Fronch, and how careful thould the be to fecure room enough, fince on the room depends fo much the Increafe of her people?
23. In fine, a nation well regulated is like a polypus $\dagger$; take away a limb, its place is foon fupply'd ; cut it in two, and each deficient part fhall fpeedily grow out of the part remaining. Thus if you have room and fubfiftence enough, as you may by dividing, make ten polypufes out of one, you may of one make ten nations, equally populous and powerful; or rather, increafe a nation ten fold in numbers and ftrength. $* * * * * * * * *$

[^23]
## ERRATA.

Page 3x. line 2. for band read bands. p. 37. 1. 2, of the note, for rash, read the laft. and 1. 3. for the former, read any former yeir.
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AINCE the foregoing fheets were printed off, the writer has obtained accounts of the Exports to Nort' America, and the Weft India Iflands, by which it appears, that there has been fome increafe of trade to thofe IJlands as well as to North America, though in a much lefs degree. The following extract from thefe accounts will fhow the reader at one view the amount of the exports to each, in two different terms of five years; the terms taken at ten years diftance from each other, to fhow the increale, viz.

Firft Term, from 1744 to 1748 , inclufive.
Northern Colonies. Wef India Jfands.

Totai, $£ \cdot 3,480,268$ I 2 Tot. £. $3,363,337$ 1о 10. Difference, 122,930 104

$$
\oint \cdot 3,486,268 \text { I } 2
$$

Second Term, from 1754 to 1758 , inclufive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nortbern Colonies. } \\
& \text { Weft India Iflands: } \\
& \text { Total, } \mathscr{F}_{0} \cdot 7,414,05743 \text { Tot. } \AA_{0} \cdot 3,7,7,8411211 \\
& \text { Difference, } 3,646,215 \text { II } 4 \\
& \text { L.7.414,057 } 43
\end{aligned}
$$

## - $\left[\begin{array}{lll}58 & -\end{array}\right]$

In the firft Term, total for Wef India Iflands, $3,363 \times 337$, 1010 In the fecond Term, ditito, $\because \cdots-3,767,84112$ II

$$
\text { Increafe, only f.0,404,504 } 2
$$

In the firt Term, total for Nortbern Colonies, $3,486,268$ I 2
In the fecond Term, ditto, $\quad \ldots \quad 7,414,057$. 4
Increafe, for $3,927,789 \quad 31$
By there accounts it appears, that the Exports to the Weft India Iflonds, and to the Nortbern Colonies, $\therefore$ were in the firft term nearly equal ; the difference being only $122,936 \%$. ios. 4 d . and in the fecond term, the Exports to thofe illands had only increafed $404,504 \mathrm{l} .2$ s.in Whereas the increafe to the Nortbern Coionies is $3,9.27,78 \mathrm{gl}$. $3^{s}$. I d. almoft Four Millions.

Some part of this increafed demand for Englifs goods, may be afcribed to the armies and fleets we have had both in North America and the Weft Indies; not fo much for what is confumed by the foldiery; their clothing, ftores; ammunition, \&c. fent from hence on account of the government, being (as is fuppofed) not included in thefe accounts of merchandize exported; but, as the war has occalioned a great plenty of money in America, many of the inhabitants have increaled their expence.

There accounts do not include any Exports from Scotland to America, which are doubrlefs proportionably confiderable; nor the Exports from Irelard.

## THE END.
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[^0]:    * Remarks, p. 6. $\dagger$ Remarks. F. 7. $\ddagger$ Remarks, p. 7.

[^1]:    - Remarks, p. j.

[^2]:    * Remrrks, p. 19. + Ibid. $\|$ ? 30 of the Letter, and $\because$ $25^{7}$ of the Remertio.

[^3]:    *Remarks, p. 24.

[^4]:    * Remarks, p. 25.

[^5]:    - Remarks, p. 25.

[^6]:    * 'Although the Indians.live feattered, as.a hunter's life re-

[^7]:    Remarks, p. 26.

[^8]:    -P. 25.

[^9]:    * Remarks, p. 26.

[^10]:    * Remarks, p. 27 .

[^11]:    - Remarke, F.50,510

[^12]:    *Remarks, p. 50, 5 I.

[^13]:    * The reafon of this greater increafe in America than in Europe, is, that in old fettled countries, all trades, farms, offices, and employments are full, and many people refrain marrying till they fee an opening, in which they can fettle themielves, with a reafonable profpect of maintaining a family : but in $A$ merica, it being eafy to obtain land which with moderate labeur will afford fubfiftence and fomething to fare, people marry more readily and earlier in life, whence arifes a numerous offspring and the fwift population of thofe countries. 'Tis a common error that we cannot fill our provinces or increafe the number of them, without draining this nation of its peoplen The increment alone of our prefent colonies is fufficient for both thofe purpofes.

[^14]:    * Viz. 40 fail, none of more than 40 guns.

[^15]:    * From Nerw York into l, ke Ontario, the land carriage of the feveral portages altogether, amounts to but about 27 miles. From lake Ontario into lake Erie, the land carriage at Niagara is but about 12 miles. All the lakes above Niagara communicate by navigable fuaits, fo that no fand carriage is necefiary, to go out of one into another. From Pre/qu'ifle on lake Ere, there are but 15 miles land-carriage, and that a good waggon road, to Beef River a branch of the Obie, which brings you into a navigation of many thoufand miles inland, if you take rogether the Obio, she Mifjepte, and all the great rivers and branches that run into them.

[^16]:    * Remarks, 1. 47, 4S, Esc.

[^17]:    trade, know, that the increafe in thofe two years, has been in a ftill greater proportion; each year being fuppofed to exceed the former by a third; and this owing to the increafed ability of the people to fpend. from the greater quantities of money circulating among them by the war.

[^18]:    * Omnes Grecorum civitates, que in 'Europa, quazue in Afac effent, livertatem ac fuas leges baberent, E\%c. Liv. lib. 33. c. $3^{\circ}$.
    + When the Romans had fobdu'd Maceion and Illyricum, they were both form'd inte republicks by a decree of the fenate, and Macedon was thought fafe from the danger of a revolution, by being divided, into a divifion common among the Romans, as we learn from the tetrarchs in fripture. Omnium primum liberos efje placebat Macedonas atque Illyrios; ut omnibus gentibus appareret, arma populi Romani non liberis fervitutcm, Sed contra fervientibus libertatem afferre. Ut $\mathcal{O}$ in hbertate gentes que effent, tutanm eann fibi perpetuamque fub tutela populi Rumani effe: Eq que Sub regibus viverent, vo in prefens tempus mitiores eos juftiorefque refpeciu populi Romani babere $\mathcal{S e}$; Eo fo quanko bel-

[^19]:    lum cum populo Romano regibus fuilot fuis, exitum ejus viEtoriame Romanis, $\sqrt{6} b i$ libertatem allaturum crederent.-In quatuor regio. nes defcribi Macedoniam, ut fuum qureque concilium baberet, placuit : ©o dimidium tributi quàm quod regibus ferre Soliti erant, populo Romano pendere. Similia his \& in Illyricum mandata.
    Liv. lib. 45 c. 18.

    * Remarks, p. 50, 5 I.

[^20]:    * And Pbaroab faid unto his people, behoid the people of the children of I/raol are more and mightier than we; come on, let us deal wifely with them; left they multiply; and it come to pals that when there falleth out any war, they join alio unto our pormies and fight againft us, and fo ge: them up out of the land. -And the king fpake to the Hebrerw midwives, Eric.

    Excdus, Chap. I.

[^21]:    * In fact, there has not gone from Britain to our colonies, thefe zo years paft, to fettle there, fo many as 10 families a year; the new fettlers are either the offspring of the old, or emigrants from Germany or the north of Ireland.

[^22]:    * Remarks, F - 47 .

[^23]:    * 1751. † A water infect, weil known to naturaliffs.

