Leptonic constant of pseudoscalar $B_c$ meson
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We calculate the leptonic constant for the ground pseudoscalar state of $B_c$ meson in the framework of QCD-motivated potential model taking into account the two-loop anomalous dimension for the heavy quark current in the nonrelativistic QCD as matched with the full QCD.


I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of long-lived heavy quarkonium $B_c$ composed of quarks with different flavors can produce a significant progress in the study of heavy quark dynamics, since the variation of bound state conditions for the heavy quarks in various systems such as the heavy-light hadrons or doubly heavy mesons and baryons provides with the different conditions in both the binding of quarks by the strong interactions and the electroweak decays. In addition to the rich fields of study such as the spectroscopy, production mechanism and lifetime there is a possibility to get the model-independent information on the CP-violating parameters in the heavy quark sector.

The first experimental observation of the $B_c$ meson by the CDF collaboration confirmed the theoretical predictions on its mass, production rate and lifetime. So, we could expect, that an essential increase of statistics in the nearest future will provide us with a new battle field in the study of long-lived doubly heavy hadrons at Tevatron and LHC.

The special role of heavy quarks in QCD is caused by the small ratio of two physical scales: the heavy quark mass $m_Q$ is much greater than the energetic scale of the quark confinement $\Lambda_{QCD}$. This fact opens a way to develop two powerful tools in the description of strong interactions with the heavy quarks. The first tool is the perturbative calculations of Wilson coefficients determined by hard corrections with the use of renormalization group improvements. The second instrument is the Operator Product Expansion (OPE) related with the small virtuality of heavy quark in the bound state, which reveals itself in many cases such as the general expansion of operators in inverse powers of heavy quark mass and the QCD sum rules. A specific form of OPE is the application for the heavy quark lagrangian itself, which results in the effective theories of heavy quarks. The effective theory is constructed under the choice of its leading term appropriate for the system under study. So, the kinetic energy can be neglected in the heavy-light hadrons to the leading order. The corresponding effective theory is called HQET. In the doubly heavy mesons the kinetic energy is of the same order as the potential one, while the velocity of the heavy quark motion is small, and we deal with the nonrelativistic QCD and its developments by taking into account the static energy in the potential NRQCD in a general form (pNRQCD) or under the correlation of quarkonium size and the time for the formation of bound system with the improved scheme of expansion in the heavy quark velocity (vNRQCD). The perturbative QCD remains actual in the effective theories, since it is necessary for the calculation of Wilson coefficients determined by hard corrections. More definitely, the perturbative calculations determine both the matching of Wilson coefficients in the effective theory with the full QCD and the anomalous dimensions resulting in the evolution of the coefficients with the variation of normalization point. In this respect we have to mention that the pNRQCD results on the static potential and the mass-dependent terms in the heavy quark-antiquark energy were confirmed by vNRQCD after appropriate limits and some calculational corrections in vNRQCD. In addition, the pNRQCD is a powerful tool in the studies of both the spectroscopy and the heavy quarkonium decays.

In contrast to the Wilson coefficients, the hadronic matrix elements of operators composed by the effective fields of nonrelativistic heavy quarks cannot be evaluated in the perturbative manner. In this paper we use the potential model for such the calculations in the case of leptonic constant for the mixed-flavor heavy quarkonium $B_c$. The matching procedure is performed with the two-loop accuracy available to the moment.

II. LEPTONIC CONSTANT OF $B_c$ IN THE POTENTIAL APPROACH

In the NRQCD approximation for the heavy quarks, the calculation of leptonic constant for the heavy quarkonium with the two-loop accuracy requires the matching
of NRQCD currents with the currents in full QCD,

\[ J^QCD_\nu = \bar{Q}_1 \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu Q_2, \quad J^\text{NRQCD}_\nu = -\chi^\dagger \phi v_\nu, \]

where we have introduced the following notations: \( Q_{1,2} \) are the relativistic quark fields, \( \chi \) and \( \phi \) are the nonrelativistic spinors of anti-quark and quark, \( v \) is the four-velocity of heavy quarkonium, so that

\[ J^QCD_\nu = \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) \cdot J^\text{NRQCD}_\nu(\mu_{\text{fact}}), \]  

(1)

where the scale \( \mu_{\text{hard}} \) gives the normalization point for the matching of NRQCD with full QCD, while \( \mu_{\text{fact}} \) denotes the normalization point for the calculations in the perturbation theory of NRQCD.

For the pseudoscalar heavy quarkonium composed of heavy quarks with the different flavors, the Wilson coefficient \( \mathcal{K} \) is calculated with the two-loop accuracy

\[ \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) = 1 + c_1 \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu_{\text{hard}})}{\pi} + c_2 (\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu_{\text{hard}})}{\pi} \right)^2, \]  

(2)

and \( c_1, c_2 \) are explicitly given in Refs. [13] and [14], respectively. The anomalous dimension of factor \( \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) \) in NRQCD is defined by

\[ \frac{d \ln \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu)}{d \ln \mu} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu)}{4\pi} \right)^k, \]  

(3)

whereas the two-loop calculations\(^3\) give

\[ \gamma_1 = 0, \]  

\[ \gamma_2 = -8\pi^2 C_F \left[ \left( 2 - \frac{(1-r)^2}{(1+r)^2} \right) C_F + C_A \right], \]  

(5)

where \( r \) denotes the ratio of heavy quark masses. The initial condition for the evolution of factor \( \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) \) is given by the matching of NRQCD current with full QCD at \( \mu_{\text{fact}} = \mu_{\text{hard}} \).

The leptonic constant is defined in the following way:

\[ \langle 0 | J^QCD_\nu | \bar{Q}Q \rangle = v_\nu f_{\bar{Q}Q} M_{\bar{Q}Q}. \]  

(6)

In full QCD the axial vector current of quarks has zero anomalous dimension, while in NRQCD the current \( J^\text{NRQCD}_\nu \) has the nonzero anomalous dimension, so that in accordance with (1), we find

\[ \langle 0 | J^\text{NRQCD}_\nu(\mu) | \bar{Q}Q \rangle = \mathcal{A}(\mu) v_\nu f_{\bar{Q}Q} M_{\bar{Q}Q}, \]  

(7)

where, in terms of nonrelativistic quarks, the leptonic constant for the heavy quarkonium is given by the well-known relation with the wave function at the origin

\[ f_{\bar{Q}Q} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{\bar{M}_{\bar{Q}Q}}} |\Psi_{\bar{Q}Q}(0)|, \]  

(8)

and the wave function in the leading order is determined by the solution of Schrödinger equation with the static potential, so that we isolate the scale dependence of NRQCD current in the factor \( \mathcal{A}(\mu) \), while the leptonic constant \( f_{\bar{Q}Q} \) is evaluated at a fixed normalization point \( \mu = \mu_0 \), which will be attributed below. It is evident that

\[ f_{\bar{Q}Q} = f_{\bar{Q}Q} \mathcal{A}(\mu_{\text{fact}}) \cdot \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}), \]  

(9)

and the anomalous dimension of \( \mathcal{A}(\mu_{\text{fact}}) \) should compensate the anomalous dimension of factor \( \mathcal{K}(\mu_{\text{hard}}; \mu_{\text{fact}}) \), so that in two loops we have got

\[ \frac{d \ln \mathcal{A}(\mu)}{d \ln \mu} = -\gamma[2] \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu)}{4\pi} \right)^2. \]  

(10)

The physical meaning of \( \mathcal{A}(\mu) \) is clearly determined by the relations of (7) and (8): this factor gives the normalization of matrix element for the current of nonrelativistic quarks expressed in terms of wave function for the two-particle quark state (in the leading order of inverse heavy quark mass in NRQCD). Certainly, in this approach the current of nonrelativistic quarks is factorized from the quark-gluon sea, which is a necessary attribute of hadronic state, so that, in general, this physical state can be only approximately represented as the two-quark bound state. In the consideration of leptonic constants in the framework of NRQCD, this approximation requires to introduce the normalization factor \( \mathcal{A}(\mu) \) depending on the scale.

The renormalization group equation of (10) is simply integrated out, so that

\[ \mathcal{A}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}(\mu_0) \left[ \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu)}{4\pi}}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \frac{\alpha_{\text{MS}}(\mu_0)}{4\pi}} \right]^{\gamma[2] / 2\gamma_1}, \]  

(11)

where \( \beta_0 = \frac{4}{3} C_A - \frac{4}{3} T_F n_f, \) and \( \beta_1 = \frac{4}{3} C_A^2 - 4 C_F T_F n_f - \frac{2}{3} C_A T_F n_f. \) A constant of integration could be defined so that at a scale \( \mu_0 \) we would get \( \mathcal{A}(\mu_0) = 1. \) Thus, in the framework of NRQCD we have got the parametric dependence of leptonic constant estimates on the scale \( \mu_0, \) which has the following simple interpretation: the normalization of matrix element for the current of nonrelativistic quarks at \( \mu_0 \) is completely given by the wave function of two-quark bound state. At other \( \mu \neq \mu_0 \) we have to introduce the factor \( \mathcal{A}(\mu) \neq 1, \) so that the approximation of hadronic state by the two-quark wavefunction becomes inexact.

---

\(^3\) We use ordinary notations for the invariants of \( SU(N_c) \) representations: \( C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}, \) \( C_A = N_c, \) \( T_F = \frac{1}{2},\) \( n_f \) is a number of “active” light quark flavors.
Following the method described in [15][16], we estimate the wave function of $bc$ quarkonium in the nonrelativistic model with the static potential given by [15], so that
\[
\sqrt{4\pi}\Psi(0)_{bc} = 1.26 \text{ GeV}^{3/2}. \tag{12}
\]
In a wide region of distances between the quarks $\rho$, the static potential of [15] free of infrared singularity can be matched with the two-loop perturbative potential $V_{\text{pert}}$ calculated in [17][18]. In this way the difference between the potentials
\[
\delta V(\mu_{\text{soft}}) = V_{\text{KCO}}(\rho) - V_{\text{pert}}(\rho; \mu_{\text{soft}}) \tag{13}
\]
depends on the normalization point $\mu_{\text{soft}}$ in the perturbative QCD of static sources. It can be numerically approximated by the expression
\[
\frac{\delta V(\mu_{\text{soft}})}{1 \text{ GeV}} = \frac{0.99}{\mu_{\text{soft}}/(1 \text{ GeV})} - 0.5,
\]
reflecting the infrared uncertainties in the perturbative calculations.
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FIG. 1: The leptonic constant of ground pseudoscalar state in the system of heavy quarkonium $bc$ is presented versus the soft scale of normalization. The shaded region restricted by curves corresponds to the change of hard scale from $\mu_{\text{hard}} = 3$ GeV (the dashed curve) to $\mu_{\text{hard}} = 2$ GeV (the solid curve) with the initial condition for the evolution of normalization factor $A(\mu_{\text{fact}})$ posed in the form of $A(1.2 \text{ GeV}) = 1$ and $A(1. \text{ GeV}) = 1$, respectively, in the matrix element of current given in the nonrelativistic representation. The horizontal band is the limits expected from the QCD sum rules [21] and scaling relations for the leptonic constants of heavy quarkonia [21]. In the cross-point, the leptonic constant of $B_c$ weakly depends on the parameters given by the hard scale of matching as well as the scale of the initial normalization.

The masses of heavy quarks used in the potential model of [17]
\[
m_c^V = 1.468 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_b^V = 4.873 \text{ GeV}, \tag{14}
\]
are consistent with the values of running masses as was shown in [18]. The cancellation of renormalon in the sum of the perturbative static potential and pole masses of heavy quarks takes place, so that
\[
m_{\text{pole}}(\mu_{\text{soft}}) = m^V + \frac{1}{2} \delta V(\mu_{\text{soft}}).
\]
In what follows we put the normalization point of perturbative potential $\mu_{\text{soft}} = \mu_{\text{fact}}$.

The result of calculation for the leptonic constant of $B_c$ in the potential approach is shown in Fig. 1. We chose the values of $\mu_{\text{hard}}$ in a range, so that the stable value of leptonic constant would be posed at the scale $\mu_{\text{fact}} < m_{\text{pole}}^c$, which is the condition of consistency for the NRQCD approach. Then one can observe the position of $\mu_{\text{fact}} \approx 1.5 - 1.6$ GeV, where the estimate of $f_{B_c}$ is independent of the choice of $\mu_{\text{hard}}$ and $\mu_0$, and the maximal values at the different choices of $\mu_{\text{hard}}$ are also close to each other.
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FIG. 2: The leptonic constant of ground pseudoscalar state in the system of heavy quarkonium $bc$ is presented versus the hard scale of normalization at $\mu_{\text{fact}} = 1.5$ GeV.

We have found that in order to reach the minimal dependence of leptonic constant on the hard scale, the normalization point $\mu_0$ should correlate with $\mu_{\text{hard}}$ at fixed $\mu_{\text{fact}}$. For illustration we show the result at $\mu_{\text{fact}} = 1.5$ GeV and
\[
\mu_0 = 1.6 \text{ GeV} - \delta V(\mu_{\text{hard}})
\]
in Fig. 2.

The full dependence on the scales is presented in Fig. 3. We can see that there is a saddle stable point for the
leptonic constant depending on two scales: the normalization point of perturbative calculation for the Wilson coefficient in NRQCD and the matching point of NRQCD with the full QCD. This stability implies that in the vicinity of saddle point the contribution of higher corrections in $\alpha_s$ is not significant, while at other values of scales these corrections should be taken into account.

The final result of two-loop calculations is

$$f_{B_c} = 395 \pm 15 \text{ MeV}. \quad (15)$$

It should be compared with the estimate of potential model itself without the matching

$$f_{B_c}^{\text{NRQCD}} = 493 \text{ MeV}, \quad (16)$$

which indicates the magnitude of the correction about 20%. Furthermore, the calculations in the same potential model with the one-loop matching [13] gave

$$f_{B_c}^{1\text{-loop}} = 400 \pm 45 \text{ MeV}, \quad (17)$$

where the uncertainty is significantly greater than in the two-loop procedure, since at the one-loop level we have no stable point in the scale dependence of the result. Therefore, in contrast to the discussion given in [14] we see that the correction is not crucially large, but it is under control in the system of $B_c$. The reason for such the claim on the reliability of result is caused by two circumstances. First, the one-loop anomalous dimension of NRQCD current is equal to zero. Therefore, we start the summation of large logs in the framework of renormalization group (RG) with the expressions in [16] and [14]. Second, after such the summation of large logs the three-loop corrections could be considered as small beyond the leading RG logs.

The result on $f_{B_c}$ is in agreement with the scaling relation derived from the quasi-local QCD sum rules [21], which use the regularity in the heavy quarkonium mass spectra, i.e. the fact that the splitting between the quarkonium levels after the averaging over the spins of heavy quarks weakly depends on the quark flavors. So, the scaling law for the S-wave quarkonia has the form

$$\frac{f_n^2}{M_n} \left( \frac{m_n}{M_1} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m_1 + m_2}{4\mu_{12}} \right)^2 = \frac{c}{n} \quad (18)$$

where $n$ is the radial quantum number, $m_{1,2}$ are the masses of heavy quarks composing the quarkonium, $\mu_{12}$ is the reduced mass of quarks, and $c$ is a dimensional constant independent of both the quark flavors and the level number $n$. The value of $c$ is determined by the splitting between the $2S$ and $1S$ levels, or the average kinetic energy of heavy quarks, which is independent of the quark flavors and $n$ with the accuracy accepted. The accuracy depends on the heavy quark masses, and it is discussed in [21] in detail. The parameter $c$ can be extracted from the known leptonic constants of $\psi$ and $\Upsilon$, so that the scaling relation gives

$$f_{B_c} \approx 400 \text{ MeV}$$

for the vector state. The difference between the leptonic constants for the pseudoscalar and vector $1S$-states is caused by the spin-dependent corrections, which are small. Numerically, we get $|f_{B_c} - f_{B_c^*}|/f_{B_c^*} < 3\%$, hence, the estimates obtained from the potential model and the scaling relation is in a good agreement with each other.

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have calculated the leptonic constant for the ground state of $bc$ system in the framework of the QCD-motivated potential model used for the estimate of nonperturbative hadronic matrix element for the effective fields of nonrelativistic quarks. The Wilson coefficient calculated with the two-loop accuracy [14] has been implemented to relate the currents of heavy quarks in the full QCD and NRQCD. It depends on two scales: the matching point and the normalization scale in NRQCD. The stable point in the scale parameter space has been observed, which makes the estimate to be reliable. We have found that the two-loop corrections are under control in the $B_c$ meson.

The numerical value of $f_{B_c}$ is in agreement with the estimates obtained in the framework of QCD sum rules [20], potential models [3] and from the scaling relation [21]. The expected systematic error coming from the usage of potential model is reduced due to the QCD motivation consistent with the measurements of QCD coupling constant at large virtualities, the asymptotic freedom up to three loops and the fitting the mass spectra of charmonia and bottomonia, so that the systematic accuracy
is of the same order as the uncertainty related with the variation of parametric scales shown in [15].
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