Lesson 5  
*January 26–February 1

(page 38 of Standard Edition)

Creation and Morality

Sabbath Afternoon

Read for This Week’s Study: Gen. 2:16, 17; Gen. 1:26–28; James 3:9; Acts 17:26; Prov. 14:31; Matt. 5:44–48; Rev. 20:11–13.

Memory Text: “And the Lord God commanded the man, ‘You are free to eat from any tree in the garden; but you must not eat from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, for when you eat from it you will certainly die’” (Genesis 2:16, 17, NIV).

People love to talk about “human rights.” From the Magna Carta (1215) to the French Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789) to various United Nations declarations, the idea is promoted that human beings possess certain “inalienable rights,” rights that no one can rightfully take away from us. They are ours by virtue of being human (at least that’s how the theory goes).

The questions remain: What are these rights? How are we to determine what they are? Can these rights change, and if so, how so? Why should we, as humans, have these rights anyway?

In some countries, for instance, women were not given the “right” to vote until the twentieth century (some nations still deny it). How, though, can a government grant to people something that is their “inalienable right” to begin with?

These are hard questions, and their answers are inseparably linked to the question of human origins, the study for this week’s lesson.

*Study this week’s lesson to prepare for Sabbath, February 2.
Our Dependence on the Creator

Genesis 2:7 depicts God as creating Adam individually and represents him to be an intelligent, moral being rather than as an animal. The text does not say, but one can imagine God using His hands to form the dust into the intended shape and size. One might think that the great Sovereign of the universe would not stoop to get His hands dirty in the making of man, but the Bible reveals the Creator as One closely involved with the Creation. Scripture records many occasions when God willingly interacted with the material creation. Examples include Exodus 32:15, 16; Luke 4:40; and John 9:6. Indeed, the incarnation of Christ Himself into humanity, into human flesh, where He day by day interacted with the created world in much the way we do, refutes the notion that God would not stoop to “get His hands dirty” among humanity.

Read Genesis 2:16, 17. What command did God give to Adam? What is implied in this command?

We may ask, What right did God have to make rules for Adam and Eve? Compare this situation to that of a child in a family. The child’s parents provide the child with a home and all of life’s necessities. They love the child and have the child’s best interests in mind. Their greater experience and wisdom can spare the child much misery if that child will accept their guidance. Some children find this guidance difficult, but it is universally recognized that as long as the child is dependent on parents for necessities, the child is obligated to accept the parents’ rules. In like manner, because we are always dependent on our heavenly Father for life and its necessities, it is always appropriate for us to accept God’s guidance. Because He is a God of love, we can trust Him to always provide what we need for our own good.

Read Psalm 95:6, 7 and Psalm 100. How does the psalmist express our dependence on God? What obligations does that dependence automatically place on you, especially in regard to the way in which you treat others?
In the Image of God

Read Genesis 1:26–28. What special attribute was given to humans that was not given to the animals?

What exactly is “the image of God”? This question has generated a great deal of discussion, and opinions vary. But the verses provide some clues regarding the nature of the idea. First, note that to be made in the image of God implies that we resemble God in certain ways. One important aspect of the image of God is that God gave to humans dominion over the other creatures. As God is sovereign over all, He has appointed to humans a share of sovereignty by giving them dominion over the fish, the birds, and the land animals.

Notice, too, that God purposed to make man in “our” image—that is, an image involving the plurality of the Godhead. Then He made humans male and female. The image of God is not fully expressed in an individual but in relationship. As the Godhead is manifest in three Persons in relationship, the image of God in humans is expressed in relationship of male and female. The ability to form relationships is part of the image of God. Relationships, of course, imply responsibility and accountability, which means morality. Hence, right here we are given a strong hint as to how morality finds its basis in the Creation story.

Read Genesis 9:6 and James 3:9. In what way is the idea of humans being made in the “image of God” clearly linked to the concept of morality?

Humans have wrestled for millennia with the question of morality. Even before one gets into what is the right kind of morality, the whole idea of morality itself raises a host of deep issues. Why should humans, as opposed to beetles, fleas, or even chimps, have a moral conscience, a concept that distinguishes between right and wrong? How can beings made essentially of amoral matter (quarks, gluons, electrons, and so forth) be aware of moral concepts? The answer can be found in the early chapters of the Bible, which reveal humans to be moral creatures made “in the image of God.”
Made of One Blood

In Genesis 2:23, Adam is given the task of naming his wife, whom he called Havah. This word is related to the Hebrew verb hayah, which means “to live” (Jews sometimes use the related expression lehayim, “to life!”). The Hebrew word for “Eve” (Havah) can be translated as “life-giver.” Eve’s name represents the fact that she is the ancestor of all humans. We are all one family in the most literal sense.


We are united in that we all descended from one woman, Eve, and from one man, Adam. And God is the Father of us all. This fact is the basis of human equality. Think how different human relations would be if all people recognized this important truth. If we ever needed proof of how far fallen we are, of how badly sin has damaged us, we have it in the sad fact that humans often treat one another worse than some people treat animals.

Read Proverbs 14:31 and 22:2. How do these texts help us to understand the link between morality and the fact that we are created by God?

Many factors have divided the human race: political, national, ethnic, and, of course, economic. The economic factor is, arguably, one of the most consequential (though never to the degree that Karl Marx envisioned: the workers of the world never did unite; instead, they warred against each other based on their nationality). Today, as always, the poor and the rich often regard one another with suspicion and disdain. How often these sentiments have led to violence, even war. The causes of poverty and the solution to it still continue to baffle us (see Matt. 26:11), but one thing is sure from the Word of God: rich or poor, we all deserve the dignity that is ours by virtue of our origins.

Years ago, after Darwinism became fashionable, some justified the exploitation of the poor by the rich on the grounds of “social Darwinism,” the idea that in the natural world the strong overcome and exploit the weak, so why should not the same principle apply in economics? How is this another example of why a correct grasp of origins is crucial to the understanding of morality?
The Character of Our Creator

God created us in His image, which means, among other things, that He intended for us to resemble Him in character. That is, we are to be like Him as much as is humanly possible (notice, to be like God is not the same thing as to aspire to be God, a crucial difference). In order for us to be like God, in the sense that we reflect His character, we must have a proper understanding of what that character is.

Read Matthew 5:44–48. What do these verses reveal not only about God’s character but also about how we should reflect His character in our own lives?

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

Read Luke 10:29–37. Again, what does this reveal about the character of God and how it should be reflected in humanity? See also Phil. 2:1–8.

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________

The story Jesus told involved two men from different people groups, groups that were antagonistic toward each other. But Jesus showed that they were neighbors. Each was within the other’s sphere of responsibility, and God was pleased when their differences were set aside and one treated the other with kindness and compassion.

What a contrast is seen between the principles of God’s kingdom and the principles of Satan’s rulership. God calls the strong to care for the weak, while Satan’s principles call for elimination of the weak by the strong. God created a world of peaceful relationships, but Satan has distorted it so thoroughly that many regard survival of the fittest as the normal standard of conduct. If the vicious process of natural selection (in which the strong overpower the weak) were the means by which we came into existence, why should we do differently? If we accept this view, are we not following God and the dictates of nature as He ordained it when we advance our own interests at the expense of the less “naturally selected”?

What are other ways in which you can see how an understanding of our origins can affect our moral concepts?
Morality and Accountability

In an earlier lesson, we looked at Paul’s sermon to the men in Athens (Acts 17:16–31). Follow the line of reasoning he used, noting not just where he started but where he ended. What’s so important about the conclusion he came to, particularly regarding the question of origins and morality?

Paul’s sermon to the men of Athens began with Creation and ended with judgment. According to Paul, the God who made the world and everything in it has fixed a day on which He will judge the world. To be endowed with morality implies accountability, and each of us will be held responsible for our actions and our words (see Eccles. 12:14 and Matt. 12:36, 37).

Read Revelation 20:11–13 and Matthew 25:31–40. What is clearly taught in these texts that is directly tied to morality?

Everyone who ever lived will meet together in God’s presence to face the judgment. The difference between the two groups in Jesus’ parable is how each person treated those who were in need. The Creator is interested in how His creatures treat each other, especially those who are needy. There is no place in heaven for the principle of natural selection; it is contrary to the character of the God of peace.

If the Bible teaches anything, it teaches that the justice so lacking in this world will one day be meted out by God Himself. More so, the whole idea of judgment implies a moral order: why would God judge, much less punish, if there were no moral standards to which people could be held?

Think through the reality and certainty of judgment. Why, then, is the gospel and the promise of salvation in Christ so crucial in order for us to have assurance in that judgment?
Further Study: According to Scripture, Adam was the first man and was specially created from the dust by God. Our understanding of the origin of morality is founded in the origin of Adam. Biblical concepts of morality are, then, inseparable from biblical concepts of origins.

Recognizing Adam as the first human also refutes the possibility that any fossils were ancestral to Adam or other humans. From where, then, did these fossils come? Several other possibilities exist.

First, the humanlike fossils might be forms of humans with normal intelligence but with growth patterns unlike any present-day human. A second possibility is that the fossils may have been degenerate due to their own lifestyle or environmental stress or other factors. A third possibility is that they may be the results of Satan’s direct attempts to corrupt Creation in ways we do not understand. Another possibility is that they were not humans but were similar in morphology. Different people may prefer different explanations but, because we do not have direct evidence to settle the matter, it is best to avoid being dogmatic in our speculations. Fossils do not come with labels attached that say, “Made in China 500 million years ago” or the like. Our understanding of earth history, which varies greatly among scientists, provides a frame of reference within which we interpret fossils, but we do not have proof of our interpretations. They are, in the end, only that: interpretations, nothing more.

Discussion Questions:

1. Think through the implications of what it would mean if there was no Creator who imposed a moral order on humanity. Where would moral concepts come from? Many people who don’t believe in God nevertheless do hold to some strict moral standards. On what basis, other than God, might a person be able to develop a moral code? What are some possible scenarios that they could come up with? What, though, would be the ultimate weakness in them all?

2. How does our view of Creation inform our opinions regarding current issues such as euthanasia, cloning, abortion, etc.?

3. A local citizen who volunteered his time to give tours at the Nazi concentration camp of Dachau began the tour by talking about Charles Darwin’s theory of evolution, implying that Darwin’s theory led to Dachau and the like. What’s the obvious logic of that line of reasoning? In what ways might it be flawed?
The Lesson in Brief

**Key Text:** Genesis 9:4–6

**The Student Will:**

**Know:** Discover how important Creation is to Christian morality and ethics.

**Feel:** Experience the difference between morality based in Creation and morality based in evolution.

**Do:** Learn to live a life of self-emptying service, especially in connection with those over whom he or she exercises power.

**Learning Outline:**

I. Know: The Importance of Creation to Morality

- What difference does our belief about origins make for morality and ethics?
- Discuss the role of relativism in ethics. To what extent does a belief in Creation inform and shape this discussion?
- Explain the doctrine of human dignity and its role in the Christian life.

II. Feel: Cultivating a Personal Experience of How Creation Shapes One’s Sense of Morality

- What do the differing implications of Creation and evolution mean to me personally?
- How does my belief in Creation shape my self-worth and my view of the worth of others?
- How, in turn, should this view inspire me to treat those with whom I come into contact?

III. Do: Living a Life of Self-Emptying Service

- How do I apply moral principles derived from Creation to my life?
- What are some of the ways that my belief in Creation can help me to become more selfless and service-oriented toward others, especially toward those over whom I have power?

**Summary:** What we believe about origins has significant moral implications. Creation morality encourages belief in fixed standards of right and wrong and calls us to use our dominion to serve and protect that over which we have power. Evolution, by contrast, sets a tone of flaunting one’s power by exploiting and preying on the weak in order to advance one’s self-interest.
Learning Cycle

STEP 1—Motivate

Key Concept for Spiritual Growth: What one believes about origins has significant moral implications.

Just for Teachers: Emphasize that a belief in Creation, as depicted in Genesis 1, lays foundations for a significantly different kind of morality than the morals implied by evolution.

Ever since Charles Darwin published his treatise on evolution, there has been agitation over whether evolution has moral implications. Generally, little scholarly work was done to probe this question, but there has been grassroots agitation claiming that Darwinism is toxic to traditional Judeo-Christian morality and ethics. Since 1950, a small but increasing number of trained thinkers have probed the question of how the theory of evolution has impacted traditional ethics.

Julian Huxley argued that morality is itself a product of evolution; thus, “any standards of rightness and wrongness must in some way be related to the movement of that process [evolution] through time.”—T. H. Huxley and Julian Huxley, Touchstone for Ethics: 1893–1943 (New York: Harper and Brothers, 1947), p. 131. This argument shows that the evolutionary worldview favors relativism in morals. This observation, however, is just the beginning of the impact of evolution on morality. In the next step of the Learning Cycle, we shall contrast differing moral implications between Genesis 1 and Darwin’s theory.

Opening Activity for Discussion: If one takes the ethical principles of Genesis 1 or evolution seriously, how might the creationist behave differently from the evolutionist?

STEP 2—Explore

Just for Teachers: Creation supplies foundational elements for Christian morality, especially the concept of the sanctity and dignity of the human person. Creation introduces an ethics of personal power that calls us to serve instead of exploit those less powerful than ourselves, whether human or animal.
Bible Commentary

I. The Image of God and the Power of Reason (Read Genesis 9:4–6 with the class.)

When God commands humankind to refrain from eating the fruit of one tree, we see man highlighted as having a characteristic that is missing in animals. Ellen G. White observes, “The first great moral lesson given Adam was that of self-denial. The reins of self-government were placed in his hands. Judgment, reason, and conscience, were to bear sway.”—The Advent Review and Sabbath Herald, February 24, 1874. Thus, humans were distinguished from the animals, as they were given the unique ability to recognize freewill options and evaluate them on the basis of a higher standard than their own desires and wants. The Creation account provides the foundation for the establishment of God’s right to place authoritative demands on us.

Christianity has a long tradition of equating the image of God with the power of reason. One challenge to this comes from evolutionist James Rachels, who argues that reason must have evolved incrementally and thus is not unique to humankind. We merely have more and hence are only quantitatively different from animals. However, it seems clear that a better option than reason alone can be offered. Another evolutionist, Robert Wright, recognizes that humans are unique in nature, for only humans possess a moral sense. Wright is onto something. Our moral sense is indeed unique and yet mirrors God’s moral sense. Hence, having a moral sense would seem to constitute a core idea of being made in God’s image, especially when this idea is linked to dominion.

Two issues arise from the idea of our being made in the image of God. The first is the sanctity of human life. Genesis 9:4–6 protects human life from slaughter by animal or human agency because man was made in God’s image. So, something about being made in God’s image provides a basis for considering murder to be morally wrong. Thus, Judeo-Christian ethics has tended to project a higher level of moral protection onto humans than onto nonhumans. Hence, if the house is burning, and we can save either the dog or the baby, we choose the baby as being entitled to more protection as a human created in God’s image. Rachels argues that evolution undermines the human-dignity doctrine, in part, by undermining the idea that man is created in the image of God. If materialist evolution is the creative agent, then God was not actively designing and shaping; thus, you cannot have humans being made in God’s image.

A further moral contrast can be built between Genesis 1 and evolution.
In evolution, self-interest is the governing norm. The strong do not accommodate the weak but prey on them and exploit them. But in the pre-Fall Creation, there was no predation and exploitation. Rather, Adam and Eve were “to serve and protect” nature, using their power to nurture, not to exploit. (“To serve and protect” is a very literal translation of the Hebrew text in Genesis 2:15.)

Human dominion was to mimic divine dominion, in style at least. Already in Genesis 1, we can see the moral principle of self-emptying denial for the good of others, as described in Philippians 2:5–7. To be in God’s image, then, is not merely to have dominion and the power to morally evaluate choices but to live a life dedicated to non-exploiting, self-sacrificial service to the world around us. To exploit any of God’s children physically, sexually, or emotionally is more Darwinian in nature and violates the image of God, marred though it be, in the perpetrator.

Consider This: If we say that God created through the evolutionary process, how might this view alter the foundations of our view of human dignity and worth?

STEP 3—Apply

Just for Teachers: What we believe about origins impacts the way in which we make decisions. Creation calls us to self-emptying service, while evolution calls us to be one of the fittest who survive. The following questions are designed to probe those differences.

Thought Questions:
If a person consistently lives out the principles of either Genesis 1 or evolution, how might the creationist behave differently from the evolutionist:

• In family life?

• In professional life?

• In the community?
STEP 4—Create

Just for Teachers: This activity takes the previous questions and personalizes them. Encourage each student to think about the meaning of these issues for his or her actual way of life. Use the following activity to encourage introspection, even if the answers are not shared with the class.

Activity for Discussion: How can I be more creation-oriented, living a self-emptying life of service and blessing to others:

• In my home? ____________________________
  ______________________________________

• In professional life? _______________________
  ______________________________________

• In the community? ______________________
  ______________________________________

Teachers Notes: ______________________________________
  ______________________________________
  ______________________________________
  ______________________________________
  ______________________________________
  ______________________________________
  ______________________________________